

CHUO MATH NO.134(2022)

**Littlewood–Paley characterization of
discrete Morrey spaces and its application
to the discrete martingale transform**

by
Yuto Abe, Yoshihiro Sawano

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 ***CHUO UNIVERSITY***
BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN

NOV. 24 , 2022

Littlewood–Paley characterization of discrete Morrey spaces and its application to the discrete martingale transform

Yuto Abe, Yoshihiro Sawano

2010 Classification: Primary 42B35, Secondary 42B25

Keywords: Littlewood–Paley theory, discrete Morrey spaces, Martingale transform

ABSTRACT. The goal of this paper is to develop the Littlewood–Paley theory of discrete Morrey spaces. As an application, we establish the boundedness of martingale transforms. We carefully justify the definition of martingale transforms, since discrete Morrey spaces do not contain discrete Lebesgue spaces as dense subspaces. We also obtain the boundedness of Riesz potentials.

1. Introduction

The goal of this note is to develop the Littlewood–Paley theory of discrete Morrey spaces. As an application, we establish the boundedness of martingale transforms.

First, we define discrete Morrey spaces. A dyadic interval is the set of integers given by $I(j, k) = \mathbb{Z} \cap [2^j k, 2^j(k+1))$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. A dyadic cube in \mathbb{Z}^n is a subset of the form:

$$Q = I(j, k_1) \times I(j, k_2) \times \cdots \times I(j, k_n),$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The family $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ stands for the set of all dyadic cubes described above, while the subfamily $\mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ collects all dyadic cubes of $I(j, k) = \mathbb{Z} \cap [2^j k, 2^j(k+1))$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$. The space $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is the set of all $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ for which

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is finite, where $\#Q$ stands for the number of elements of the dyadic cube Q .

The discrete Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ falls within the scope of the work [1] and has been investigated in [4, 5, 6, 7]. Our goal of this paper is to obtain an equivalent norm by means of the Littlewood–Paley decomposition.

We describe the Littlewood–Paley decomposition. To this end, we start with defining the 1-dimensional Littlewood–Paley operator. For a 1-dimensional sequence $a = \{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we let

$$E_k(a)_j = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{l \in Q} a_l,$$

where Q is a unique cube in $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ which contains j . Each E_k is called the average operator of generation k . We define $D_k = E_k - E_{k+1}$. The Littlewood–Paley operator $g(a) = \{g(a)_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$g(a)_j = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(a)_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z})$$

Having defined 1-dimensional operators, we move on to the definition of operators acting on n -fold indexed (multiply-indexed) sequences. We let $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The operator $E_k^{(l)}$ acts on the l -th component as E_k with other components unchanged. The difference operator $D_k^{(l)}$ is defined by $D_k^{(l)} = E_k^{(l)} - E_{k+1}^{(l)}$. We write $\vec{E} = (E, E, \dots, E)$. Let $\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in \{(D, E)\}^n \setminus \{\vec{E}\}$. Define the operator \vec{X}_k by $\vec{X}_k = X_k^{(1)} \circ X_k^{(2)} \circ \dots \circ X_k^{(n)}$. The discrete Littlewood–Paley operator g is given by the mapping $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mapsto g(a) = \{g(a)_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, where

$$g(a)_{\vec{j}} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{X} \in \{(D, E)\}^n \setminus \{\vec{E}\}} |\vec{X}_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$$

The next proposition is well known as the Littlewood–Paley characterization of the discrete $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ -norm.

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then there exists $c_p > 0$ such that*

$$c_p^{-1} \|a\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq \|g(a)\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq c_p \|a\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $a \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

In this paper, we will establish the following norm equivalence and then apply it to the boundedness of various operators:

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. Then there exists $c_{p,q} > 0$ such that*

$$c_{p,q}^{-1} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq c_{p,q} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

Theorem 1.3 is a discrete version of [8, Corollary 4.1].

We apply Theorem 1.3 to the boundedness of martingale transforms. Let $\{m^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of sequences in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Then define

$$M_m(a) = \{M_m(a)_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{k+1}(m^k) D_k(a) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^N E_{k+1}(m^k) D_k(a), \quad (1.1)$$

where $E_{k+1}(m^k) D_k(a) = \{E_{k+1}(m^k)_{\vec{j}} D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$. We can not use the density argument. Recall that the support of a multiply-indexed sequence $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ is the set of all indices \vec{j} for which $a_{\vec{j}} \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ does not contain the space of finitely supported multiply-indexed sequences as a dense subspace (see

Remark 4.1), we have to justify the definition of the martingale transform M_m : The existence of the limit defining $M_m(a)$ is not clear. Furthermore, since Theorem 1.3 is applicable for multiply-indexed sequences in $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, we also have to show that $M_m(a) \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ before we use Theorem 1.3 to obtain the norm estimate.

We perform this using the predual space $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ considered in [9].

DEFINITION 1.4. Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$.

- (1) A multiply-indexed sequence $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ is said to be a (p', q') -block centered at Q if it is supported on Q and $\|a\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}$.
- (2) The block space $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is the set of all multiply-indexed sequences a of the form: $a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{(j)} a^{(j)}$ where the convergence takes place in the topology of $\ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, $\lambda = \{\lambda^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ and each $a^{(j)}$ is a (p', q') -block centered at $Q_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. The norm is given by $\|a\|_{\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = \inf \|\lambda\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{N})}$, where λ and $\{a^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ move over all possible representations.

According to the general theory [9], $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ admits a predual. One predual of $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is the space $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let $1 < q' \leq p' < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a Banach space. Furthermore, the dual of $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. More precisely, we have the following:*

- (1) For all $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $b = \{b_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \in \mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$,

$$\sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a_{\vec{j}} b_{\vec{j}}| \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \|b\|_{\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

In particular,

$$a \mapsto L_a(b) = \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{\vec{j}} b_{\vec{j}}$$

is a bounded linear functional.

- (2) Conversely any bounded linear functional over $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ can be realized as above for some $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

By using Proposition 1.5 we will justify that the limit defining $M_m(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ exists in the weak-* topology.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. Assume that*

$$K = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|E_{k+1}(m^k)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)} < \infty.$$

Then the limit defining $M_m(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ exists in the weak- topology of $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. The martingale transform $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n) \mapsto M_m(a) \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is bounded.*

Here we list other conventions of this paper.

- A cube in \mathbb{Z}^n is a subset which can be expressed as

$$Q = Q(a, r) = \{m = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \max_{j=1,2,\dots,n} |m_j - a_j| \leq r\}$$

for some $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $r > 0$.

- For multiply-indexed sequences $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ and $b = \{b_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, we write

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{\vec{j}} b_{\vec{j}}$$

as long as the right-hand side converges absolutely.

- Let $A, B \geq 0$. Then $A \lesssim B$ and $B \gtrsim A$ mean that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$, where C depends only on the parameters of importance. The symbol $A \sim B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ happen simultaneously, while $A \simeq B$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $A = CB$. When we need to emphasize or keep in mind that the constant C depends on the parameters α, β, γ etc, we write $A \lesssim_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots} B$ instead of $A \lesssim B$.

Before we conclude this section, we collect some elementary facts that can be derived directly from the above definitions. Observe that any cube $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ can be included in the union of dyadic cubes Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{3^n} satisfying $\ell(Q_j) \leq \ell(Q) < 2\ell(Q_j)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, 3^n$. A direct consequence of this observation is the norm equivalence: for

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \sim \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We organize this paper as follows: Section 2 collects some preliminary facts. Section 3 proves Theorem 1.3. As an application, we prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 4. Section 5 is an appendix where we prove the boundedness of the fractional integral operator.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Embedding. We invoke a fundamental embedding result [5, 7]: If $1 \leq r \leq q \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^r(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \quad (2.1)$$

for any multiply-indexed sequence $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ by Hölder's inequality.

2.2. Maximal operator. For a multiply-indexed sequence $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, write

$$M_{\text{dyadic}} a_{\vec{j}} = \sup_{\vec{j} \in Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \frac{1}{\#Q} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} |a_{\vec{j}^*}|.$$

We define $M_{\text{dyadic}} a = \{M_{\text{dyadic}} a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$. The correspondence $a \mapsto M_{\text{dyadic}} a$ is called the dyadic maximal operator. Gunawan and Schwanke established that the dyadic maximal operator is bounded on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ [4, Theorem 3.2].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. Then there exists $c_q > 0$ such that*

$$\|M_{\text{dyadic}} a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq c_q \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

2.3. Predual spaces. We invoke the following elementary facts: Since the proof is similar to the classical case as in [3], we content ourselves with the statement.

LEMMA 2.2. [3, (9.2)] *For any (p', q') -block $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, we have $\|a\|_{\ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq 1$.*

A direct consequence of Lemma 2.2 is the following embedding result:

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is a subset of $\ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. More quantitatively, $\|a\|_{\ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq \|a\|_{\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ for all $a = \{a_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$.*

Finally, we invoke [3, Lemma 341].

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$ and $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Define*

$$R_Q(a)_{\vec{j}} = \begin{cases} a_{\vec{j}} & \vec{j} \in Q, \\ 0 & \vec{j} \notin Q \end{cases}$$

for $a \in \ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Then we have

$$\|R_Q(a)\|_{\mathcal{H}_q^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $a \in \ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

3. Littlewood–Paley decomposition–Proof of Theorem 1.3

Recall that $g(a)$ contains the operators D_k in its definition, which annihilate the constant multiply-indexed sequence $\{1\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$. Therefore, seemingly the quantity $\|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ loses something that $\|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ has. This is the case if we consider a multiply-indexed sequence a that does not necessarily belong to $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. To establish that this does not apply for any multiply-indexed sequence in $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, we use the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $R \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $1 < q \leq p < \infty$. Then for each $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and for each multiply-indexed sequence b which is supported on R , we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle E_N(a), E_N(b) \rangle = 0.$$

PROOF. A normalization allows us to assume $\sum_{\vec{j} \in R} |b_{\vec{j}}|^{q'} = 1$. Let $\#R = 2^{nM}$. Consider an increasing sequence $\{Q_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ satisfying $Q_0 = R$, $\#Q_{m+1} = 2^n \#Q_m$. A geometric observation shows that $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_m$ is nothing but a quadrant S of \mathbb{Z}^n . That is, S is the Cartesian n -fold product of the sets $[0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ or $(-\infty, 0) \cap \mathbb{Z}$. We decompose

$$\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_m = Q_0 \cup \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} (Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle E_N(a), E_N(b) \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} E_N(a)_{\vec{j}} E_N(b)_{\vec{j}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}| \\
&= \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}| + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we employ Hölder's inequality and Proposition 2.1 to have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}| &\leq \|E_N(a)\|_{\ell^q(Q_0)} \|E_N(b)\|_{\ell^{q'}(Q_0)} \\
&\leq (\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|E_N(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} \left| \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{nN}} (\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'}} \|E_N(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^{nN}} (\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'}} \|M_{\text{dyadic}} a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{2^{nN}} (\#Q_0)^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

This term tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

For the second term, we first choose a dyadic cube $S \in \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ which contains Q_0 . Then we obtain an increasing sequence $Q_0 \subsetneq Q_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq Q_l = S$ with the property that there is no intermediate dyadic cube between Q_{j-1} and Q_j for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$, where $l = N - M$. Suppose $\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m$ with $m = 0, 1, \dots$. Then

$$E_N(b)_{\vec{j}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} & \text{if } m+1 \leq l, \\ 0 & \text{if } m+1 > l. \end{cases}$$

If we insert this expression into the second term, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}| &= \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| \left| \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, the definition of the Morrey norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ and Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1}} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} (\#Q_{m+1})^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \|E_N(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \|M_{\text{dyadic}} a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} (\#Q_{m+1})^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \sum_{m=0}^{l-1} (\#Q_{m+1})^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\#Q_{m+1} = 2^{n(M+m+1)}$, $p < \infty$ and $l = N - M$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{l-1} (\#Q_{m+1})^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{nN}{p'}}.$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} |E_N(a)_{\vec{j}}| |E_N(b)_{\vec{j}}| & \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{nN}} \times 2^{\frac{nN}{p'}} \times \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\
& = 2^{-\frac{nN}{p}} \times \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the estimate for the second term. \square

3.1. Proof of the right inequality.

It suffices to show that

$$(\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a)_{\vec{j}}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c_{p,q} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for each $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. To specify we let $Q \in \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

We write $a = a_Q^+ + a_Q^- = \{(a_Q^+)_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} + \{(a_Q^-)_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$, where

$$(a_Q^+)_{\vec{j}} = \chi_Q(\vec{j}) a_{\vec{j}}, \quad (a_Q^-)_{\vec{j}} = a_{\vec{j}} - (a_Q^+)_{\vec{j}}.$$

Matters are reduced to the proof of

$$(\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a_Q^+)_{\vec{j}}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a_Q^-)_{\vec{j}}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c_{p,q} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for each $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

As for a_Q^+ , we employ Proposition 1.2 to have

$$\begin{aligned} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a_Q^+)^q_{\vec{j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \|g(a_Q^+)\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\leq c_q (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \|a_Q^+\|_{\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &= c_q (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are left with the task of dealing with $a_{\bar{Q}}$.

It follows from the definition of $g(a_{\bar{Q}})$ that

$$g(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Suppose $\vec{j} \in Q$. Then we have

$$g(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (|E_k(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}}| + |E_{k+1}(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}}|) \leq 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |E_k(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}}|$$

by the triangle inequality. Denote by Q_k the unique cube in $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ that contains Q . A geometric observation shows that

$$E_k(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \leq N, \\ \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_k} (a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}^*} & \text{if } k > N. \end{cases}$$

If we insert this expression into the definition of $g(a_{\bar{Q}})$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}} &\leq 2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |E_k(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}}| = 2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_k} (a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_k} |(a_{\bar{Q}})_{\vec{j}^*}| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_k} |a_{\vec{j}^*}|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a_{\bar{Q}})^q_{\vec{j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2 (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_k} |a_{\vec{j}^*}|.$$

Recall that $\#Q = 2^{nN}$ and that $\#Q_k = 2^{nk}$. Therefore,

$$(\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a_{\bar{Q}})^q_{\vec{j}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2^{1+\frac{nN}{p}} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (\#Q_k)^{-1} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_k} |a_{\vec{j}^*}|.$$

By the definition of the Morrey norm $\|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ and embedding (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} g(a_{\vec{Q}})_{\vec{j}}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq 2^{1+\frac{nN}{p}} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (2^{nk})^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

3.2. Proof of the left inequality. Let $R \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. It suffices to show that

$$(\#R)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in R} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c_{p,q} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

We linearize the left-hand side. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in R} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{\vec{j} \in R} a_{\vec{j}} b_{\vec{j}} \right| : b = \{b_{\vec{j}}\}_{\vec{j} \in R}, \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in R} |b_{\vec{j}}|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \leq 1 \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

Extend b to an element in $\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ by letting $b_{\vec{j}} = 0$ outside R . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\vec{j} \in R} a_{\vec{j}} b_{\vec{j}} \right| &= |\langle a, b \rangle| = \left| \langle E_N(a), E_N(b) \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \langle D_k(a), D_k(b) \rangle \right| \\ &\leq |\langle E_N(a), E_N(b) \rangle| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\langle D_k(a), D_k(b) \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\langle D_k(a), D_k(b) \rangle| &\leq \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}| |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this inequality into (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in R} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ |\langle E_N(a), E_N(b) \rangle| + \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}} : \text{supp}(b) \subset R, \|b\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $b \in \ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ such that

$$\|b\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = 1, \quad \text{supp}(b) \subset R. \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle E_N(a), E_N(b) \rangle = 0$$

according to Lemma 3.1. Thus, it remains to show

$$\sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}} \leq c_{p,q} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $b \in \ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ supported in R with $\|b\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = 1$. Let $\{Q_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$ be the same exhausting sequence of a quadrant S as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. In particular, we let $Q_0 = R$. Then notice that $g(b)_{\vec{j}} = 0$ outside S . Thus,

$$\sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}} = \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}}.$$

As for the first term, we employ Hölder's inequality and Proposition 1.2 to have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}} &\leq \|g(a)\|_{\ell^q(Q_0)} \|g(b)\|_{\ell^{q'}(Q_0)} \\ &\leq c_{q'} \|g(a)\|_{\ell^q(Q_0)} \|b\|_{\ell^{q'}(Q_0)} \\ &\leq c_{q'} \|g(a)\|_{\ell^q(Q_0)} \\ &= c_{q'} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} |g(a)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c_{q'} (\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to handle the second term. Fix $\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m$ and consider

$$g(b)_{\vec{j}} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then, since $\#Q_m = 2^{n(N+m)}$ and $\#Q_{m+1} = 2^{n(N+m+1)}$, we have

$$E_k(b)_{\vec{j}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \leq N+m, \\ \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} & \text{if } k > N+m. \end{cases}$$

Inserting this expression into $D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(b)_{\vec{j}} &= E_k(b)_{\vec{j}} - E_{k+1}(b)_{\vec{j}} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < N+m, \\ -\frac{1}{2^{n(N+m+1)}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} & \text{if } k = N+m, \\ \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} - \frac{1}{2^{n(k+1)}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} & \text{if } k > N+m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \sim \left(\sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right)^2 \sum_{k=N+m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2nk}} \sim \left(\sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q_0} b_{\vec{j}^*} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2^{2n(N+m+1)}}.$$

Hence from (3.2), we conclude

$$g(b)_{\vec{j}} \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{n(N+m+1)}} \|b\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{n(N+m+1)}}.$$

If we insert $\#Q_{m+1} = 2^{n(N+m+1)}$ into the above estimate and use embedding (2.1), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} g(a)_{\vec{j}} g(b)_{\vec{j}} &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n(N+m+1)}} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_{m+1} \setminus Q_m} g(a)_{\vec{j}} \\ &\lesssim \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{n(N+m+1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim_{p,q} (\#Q_0)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_1^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{p,q} (\#Q_0)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

In total,

$$\left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in R} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim (\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} + (\#Q_0)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

Multiply both sides by $(\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}$ and use the norm $\|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ to have

$$(\#Q_0)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_0} |a_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim_{p,q} \|g(a)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

The cube $R = Q_0$ being arbitrary, we obtain the desired result.

4. Applications to martingale transforms

We apply Theorem 1.3 to martingale transforms. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and multiply-indexed sequences m^0, m^1, \dots satisfying $|E_{k+1}(m^k)| \leq K$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define the martingale transform $M_m(b)$ of a multiply-indexed sequence b by

$$M_m(b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{k+1}(m^k) D_k(b).$$

If $m^k = 0$ for $k \gg 1$, then we call $M_m(b)$ a finite martingale transform. Thus, a finite martingale transform takes the form

$$M_{m,(N)}(b) = \sum_{k=0}^N E_{k+1}(m^k) D_k(b).$$

We consider finite martingale transforms in Section 4.1. Based on the observations in Section 4.1, we move on to the general case in Section 4.2.

4.1. Finite martingale transform. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and multiply-indexed sequences m^0, m^1, \dots satisfying

$$|E_{k+1}(m^k)| \leq K \quad (4.1)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we deal with the finite martingale transform $M_{m,(N)}(b)$ of a multiply-indexed sequence b by

$$M_{m,(N)}(b) = \sum_{k=0}^N E_{k+1}(m^k) D_k(b).$$

Note that $M_{m,(N)}(b) \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ whenever $b \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq (N+1) \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} \max_k |E_{k+1}(m^k)_{\vec{j}} D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.1 and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq K(N+1) \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} \max_k |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq K(N+1) \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |M_{\text{dyadic}} b_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \lesssim K(N+1) \|b\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ & < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the linear functional $L_{M_{m,(N)}(b)} : \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by

$$L_{M_{m,(N)}(b)}(a) = \langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a \rangle \quad (a \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)),$$

is bounded. In Section 4.2, we will show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a \rangle$$

exists for all $a \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Once this is achieved, we can say that there exists an element $M_m(b) \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ such that

$$M_{m,(N)}(b) \rightarrow M_m(b) \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$$

in the weak-* topology. By considering the coupling of this equality with $\mathbf{e}_{\vec{j}}$, we learn that

$$M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}} \rightarrow M_m(b)_{\vec{j}} \quad (N \rightarrow \infty)$$

for each $\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We concentrate on the proof of Theorem 1.6 for finite martingale transforms. In this case, there is no need to consider the convergence defining the finite martingale transform.

THEOREM 1.6 FOR FINITE MARTINGALE TRANSFORMS. Let $b \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. As we have remarked above, $M_{m,(N)}(b) \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Thus, from Theorem 1.3, we deduce

$$\|g(M_{m,(N)}(b))\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \gtrsim \|M_{m,(N)}(b)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$(\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}})|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim_{p,q} \|b\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. It follows from the definition of $g(b)$ that

$$g(M_{m,(N)}(b))_{\vec{j}} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(M_{m,(N)}(b))_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |E_{k+1}(m^k)_{\vec{j}} D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}})|^q = \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |E_{k+1}(m^k)_{\vec{j}} D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}.$$

Recall that we are assuming

$$|E_{k+1}(m^k)_{\vec{j}}| \leq \|E_{k+1}(m^k)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq K < \infty$$

for each $\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}})|^q &\leq \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|E_{k+1}(m^k)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^2 |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &\leq \sup_k \|E_{k+1}(m^k)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^q \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Once again from the definition of $g(b)$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k(b)_{\vec{j}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = |g(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q.$$

If we insert this expression into the above inequality, then we obtain

$$\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}})|^q \lesssim_q K^q \sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}})|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\lesssim_q K (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(b)_{\vec{j}}|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim_q K \|g(b)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Once again from Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sharp Q)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q} |g(M_{m,(N)}(b)_{\vec{j}})|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\lesssim_{p,q} K \|g(b)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\lesssim_{p,q} K \|b\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1.6 for finite martingale transforms. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6–General case. We will establish that the limit $\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a \rangle$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ exists for all $b \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $a \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. This amounts to showing that $\{\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a \rangle\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let us start with the case where a is a (p', q') -block centered at Q . Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $n_1 > n_2$. Suppose $\sharp Q = 2^{n_1}$. By linearity, we have

$$\langle M_{m,(n_1)}(b), a \rangle - \langle M_{m,(n_2)}(b), a \rangle = \langle M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b), a \rangle.$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b), a \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\langle D_k(M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b)), D_k(a) \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b))_{\vec{j}}| |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Proposition 1.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b), a \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b))_{\vec{j}}|^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b))|^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)|^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|E_{k+1}(m^k)| \leq K$, thanks to what we did for finite martingale transforms,

$$\left\| \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(M_{m,(n_1)}(b) - M_{m,(n_2)}(b))|^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim K \|b\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}.$$

Let $\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We decompose

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} = \chi_Q(\vec{j}) \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} + \chi_{\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus Q}(\vec{j}) \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2}.$$

As for the first term, we have

$$\left\| \chi_Q \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)|^2} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq (\sharp Q)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \chi_Q \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)|^2} \right\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

thanks to Proposition 2.4. Due to Proposition 1.2, we have

$$\left\| \chi_Q \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)|^2} \right\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \leq \|g(a)\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

whenever integers n_1 and n_2 satisfy $n_1 > n_2 \geq 1$. By the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \chi_Q \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)|^2} \right\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = \left\| \lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \chi_Q \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)|^2} \right\|_{\ell^{q'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = 0.$$

We move on to the second term. Let $\vec{j} \notin Q$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$E_k(a)_{\vec{j}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi_{Q_k}(\vec{j})}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} & \text{if } k > N \text{ and } Q \subset Q_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\#Q_k = 2^{nk}$. Furthermore, since

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} \leq \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}| = \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |E_k(a)_{\vec{j}} - E_{k+1}(a)_{\vec{j}}| \leq 2 \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1+1} |E_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|,$$

if $n_2 > N$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} \right\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} 2 \left| \chi_{Q_k} \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} \left\| \chi_{Q_k} \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} \frac{(\#Q_k)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}}}{2^{nk}} \left\| \left\{ \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \right\|_{\ell^{q'}(Q_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\#Q_k = 2^{nk}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \right\|_{\ell^{q'}(Q_k)} &= \left(\sum_{\vec{j} \in Q_k} \left| \frac{1}{2^{nk}} \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right|^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &= (\#Q_k)^{\frac{1}{q'} - 1} \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

If we insert this equality into the above estimate, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \sqrt{\sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^2} \right\}_{\vec{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} &\lesssim \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \sum_{k=n_2}^{n_1} (\#Q_k)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \sum_{k=n_2}^{\infty} (\#Q_k)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left| \sum_{\vec{j}^* \in Q} a_{\vec{j}^*} \right| \frac{2^{-\frac{n_2 k}{p}}}{1 - 2^{-\frac{n}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p, n < \infty$, the last term vanishes as $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that the limit defining $\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a \rangle$ exists as long as a is a (p', q') -block.

Next, we remove the assumption that a is a (p', q') -block. Let $a \in \mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Then there exist $\lambda = \{\lambda^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ and a collection $\{a^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of (p', q') -blocks such that $a = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{(j)} a^{(j)}$. From this expression of a , we deduce

$$\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a \rangle = \left\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{(j)} a^{(j)} \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{(j)} \langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a^{(j)} \rangle.$$

As we have established, the limit of $\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a^{(j)} \rangle$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ exists for each j . Meanwhile, since $M_{m,(N)}(b) \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$,

$$|\langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a^{(j)} \rangle| \leq \|M_{m,(N)}(b)\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \|a^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim K \|b\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

by virtue of what we proved in Section 4.1. By the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{(j)} \langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a^{(j)} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{(j)} \langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a^{(j)} \rangle.$$

In particular, the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle M_{m,(N)}(b), a^{(j)} \rangle$ exists.

We end this section with the remark that finitely supported multiply-indexed sequences do not form a dense subspace in $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$; if we let X be the set of all finitely supported sequences, then $\overline{X} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. This means that we are not allowed to use the ‘‘so called’’ density argument.

REMARK 4.1. Let $n = 1$. Define $a = \{\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\log_2 |j|)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, where it is understood that $\log_2 0 = -\infty$ and hence $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\log_2 |0|) = 0$. Notice that any cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k$ can contain at most k points in the support of a : $\#(Q \cap \text{supp}(a)) \leq k$. If we take $Q = \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, 2^k)$, then $\#(Q \cap \text{supp}(a)) = k$. Observe also that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}^n)} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} (\#(Q \cap \text{supp}(a)))^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} 2^{\frac{k}{p} - \frac{k}{q}} k^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

Therefore, $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ whenever $1 \leq q < p < \infty$. However, since

$$\|a - b\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \geq 1$$

for any $b \in X$, a is not in the closure of the space of finitely supported multiply-indexed sequences.

5. Appendix–dyadic Riesz potential

For $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, we set

$$R_\alpha a = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} 2^{N\alpha} D_N a.$$

For the time being let $0 < \alpha < n$. The next lemma contains a flavor of the original observation by Morrey. This observation allows us to conclude that the function f is Hölder continuous if f has a derivative in some classical Morrey spaces.

LEMMA 5.1. *For all $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ $\|D_N a\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{nN}{p}} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$.*

PROOF. Observe that $D_N a$ is constant on each $Q \in \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Hence

$$\|D_N a\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_N} (\#Q)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|D_N a\|_{\ell^q(Q)}.$$

Let $Q \in \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, or equivalently $\#Q = 2^{nN}$. It follows from the definition of $M_{\text{dyadic}} a$ and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_N} (\#Q)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|D_N a\|_{\ell^q(Q)} &\leq \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_N} (\#Q)^{-\frac{1}{q}} 2 \|M_{\text{dyadic}} a\|_{\ell^q(Q)} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_N} (\#Q)^{-\frac{1}{p}} (\#Q)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\text{dyadic}} a\|_{\ell^q(Q)} \\ &\leq \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{D}_N} (\#Q)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|M_{\text{dyadic}} a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \\ &\leq c_q 2^{-\frac{nN}{p}} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together these observations, we obtain the desired result. \square

A direct consequence of Lemma 5.1 is that

$$|(R_\alpha a)_{\vec{j}}| \leq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} 2^{N\alpha} |(D_N a)_{\vec{j}}| \lesssim \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \min \left(2^{N\alpha} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|, 2^{N\alpha - \frac{nN}{p}} \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \right).$$

If

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{p},$$

then

$$|(R_\alpha a)_{\vec{j}}| \leq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} 2^{N\alpha} |D_N a| \leq K \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}^{\frac{p\alpha}{n}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |D_k(a)_{\vec{j}}|^{1 - \frac{p\alpha}{n}}$$

for some positive constant $K > 0$. As a result, by taking the $\mathcal{M}_t^s(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ -norm, we obtain the following theorem, which corresponds to the discrete version of a result in [2, ?, 11]:

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $1 < q \leq p < \infty$ and $1 < t \leq s < \infty$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n} = \frac{1}{s}$ and $\frac{t}{s} = \frac{q}{p}$. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} 2^{N\alpha} |D_N a| \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_t^s(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. In particular,

$$\|R_\alpha a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^s(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \lesssim \|a\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

References

- [1] Y.H. Cao and J. Zhou, Morrey spaces for nonhomogeneous metric measure spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Art. ID 196459, 8 pp.
- [2] D. Chamorro and P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Real interpolation method, Lorentz spaces and refined Sobolev inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), no. 12, 3219–3232.
- [3] G. Di Fazio, D.I. Hakim and Y. Sawano, *Morrey Spaces. Vol. I. Introduction and applications to integral operators and PDE's*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2020. 479 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4987-6551-0; 978-0-429-08592-5 46-02 (2020)
- [4] H. Gunawan and C. Schwanke, The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on discrete Morrey spaces, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **16** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 24, 12 pp.
- [5] H. Gunawan, D.I. Hakim and M. Idris, On inclusion properties of discrete Morrey spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **29** (2022), no. 1, 37–44.
- [6] H. Gunawan, E. Kikianty, Y. Sawano and C. Schwanke, Three geometric constants for Morrey spaces, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **56** (2019), No. 6, pp. 1569–1575.
- [7] H. Gunawan, E. Kikianty and C. Schwanke, Discrete Morrey spaces and their inclusion properties, *Math. Nachr.* **291** (2018), no. 8-9, 1283–1296.
- [8] A. Mazzucato, *Decomposition of Besov-Morrey spaces*. Harmonic analysis at Mount Holyoke (South Hadley, MA, 2001), 279–294, *Contemp. Math.*, **320**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [9] M. Mastyo, Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, Morrey type space and its Köthe dual space, *Bull. Malaysian Mathematical Society* **41** (2018), 1181–1198.
- [10] Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, Y. Sawano and T. Shimomura, Littlewood–Paley theory for variable exponent Lebesgue spaces and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for Riesz potentials, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **65** (2013), no. 2, 633–670.
- [11] Y. Sawano, S. Sugano and H. Tanaka, Identification of the image of Morrey spaces by the fractional integral operators, *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, **149** (2009), 87–93.

Yuto Abe,

Graduate School of Science and Engineering,
Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, 112-8551, Japan.
E-mail: a17.wwwd@g.chuo-u.ac.jp

Yoshihiro Sawano (Corresponding author),
Graduate School of Science and Engineering,
Chuo University, 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo, 112-8551, Japan.
E-mail: yoshihiro-sawano@celery.ocn.ne.jp

PREPRINT SERIES

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUO UNIVERSITY BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN

番号刊行年月	論文名	著者
No. 1 1988	ON THE DEFORMATIONS OF WITT GROUPS TO TORI II	Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI
No. 2 1988	On minimal Einstein submanifold with codimension two	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 3 1988	Minimal Einstein submanifolds	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 4 1988	Submanifolds with parallel Ricci tensor	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 5 1988	A CASE OF EXTENSIONS OF GROUP SCHEMES OVER A DISCRETE VALUATION RING	Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI and Noriyuki SUWA
No. 6 1989	ON THE PRODUCT OF TRANSVERSE INVARIANT MEASURES	S.HURDER and Y.MITSUMATSU
No. 7 1989	ON OBLIQUE DERIVATIVE PROBLEMS FOR FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND-ORDER ELLIPTIC PDE'S ON NONSMOOTH DOMAINS	Paul DUPUIS and Hitoshi ISHII
No. 8 1989	SOME CASES OF EXTENSIONS OF GREOUP SCHEMES OVER A DI SCRETE VALUATION RING I	Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI and Noriyuki SUWA
No. 9 1989	ON OBLIQUE DERIVATIVE PROBLEMS FOR FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND- ORDER ELLIPTIC PDE'S ON DOMAINS WITH CORNERS	Paul DUPUIS and Hitoshi ISHII
No. 10 1989	MILNOR'S INEQUALITY FOR 2-DIMENSIONAL ASYMPTOTIC CYCLES	Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
No. 11 1989	ON THE SELF-INTERSECTIONS OF FOLIATION CYCLES	Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
No. 12 1989	On curvature pinching of minimal submanifolds	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 13 1990	The Intersection Product of Transverse Invariant Measures	S.HURDER and Y.MITSUMATSU
No. 14 1990	The Transverse Euler Class for Amenable Foliations	S.HURDER and Y.MITSUMATSU
No. 14 1989	The Maximum Principle for Semicontinuous Functions	M.G.Crandall and H.ISHII
No. 15 1989	Fully Nonlinear Oblique Derivative Problems for Nonlinear Second-Order Elliptic PDE's.	Hitoshi ISHII
No. 15 1990	On Bundle Structure Theorem for Topological Semigroups.	Yoichi NADUMO, Masamichi TOKIZAWA and Shun SATO
No. 16 1990	On Linear Orthogonal Semigroup \mathfrak{D}_n - Sphere bundle structure, homotopy type and Lie algebra -	Masamichi TOKIZAWA and Shun SATO
No. 17 1990	On a hypersurface with birecurrent second fundametal tensor.	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 18 1990	User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equationd.	M. G. CRANDALL, H. ISHII and P. L. LIONS
No. 19 1991	Viscosity solutions for a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations in Hilbert spaces.	H. ISHII
No. 20 1991	Perron's methods for monotone systems of second-order elliptic PDEs.	H. ISHII
No. 21 1991	Viscosity solutions for monotone systems of second-order elliptic PDEs.	H. ISHII and S. KOIKE
No. 22 1991	Viscosity solutions of nonlinear second-order partial differential equations in Hilbert spaces.	H. ISHII
No. 23		
No. 24 1992	On some pinching of minimal submanifolds.	Y. MATSUYAMA
No. 25 1992	Transverse Euler Class of Foliations on Almost Compact Foliation Cycles.	S. HURDER and Y. MITSUMATSU
No. 26 1992	Local Homeo- and Diffeomorphisms: Invertibility and Convex Image.	G. ZAMPIERI and G. GORNI

- No. 27 1992 Injectivity onto a Star-shaped Set for Local Homeomorphisms in n-Space. G. ZAMPIERI and G. GORNI
- No. 28 1992 Uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problems for $u_t - \Delta u + r|\nabla u|^2 = 0$. I. FUKUDA, H. ISHII
and M. TSUTSUMI
- No. 29 1992 Viscosity solutions of functional differential equations. H. ISHII and S. KOIKE
- No. 30 1993 On submanifolds of sphere with bounded second fundamental form Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 31 1993 On the equivalence of two notions of weak solutions, viscosity solutions
and distributional solutions. H. ISHII
- No. 32 1993 On curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of $CP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 33 1993 On curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of $HP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 34 1993 On curvature pinching for totally complex submanifolds of $HP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 35 1993 A new formulation of state constraints problems for first-order PDEs. H. ISHII and S. KOIKE
- No. 36 1993 On Multipotent Invertible Semigroups. M. TOKIZAWA
- No. 37 1993 On the uniqueness and existence of solutions of fully nonlinear parabolic
PDEs under the Osgood type condition H. ISHII and K. KOBAYASHI
- No. 38 1993 Curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of $CP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 39 1993 Critical Gevrey index for hypoellipticity of parabolic operators and
Newton polygons T. GRAMCHEV
P. POPIVANOV
and M. YOSHINO
- No. 40 1993 Generalized motion of noncompact hypersurfaces with velocity having
arbitrary growth on the curvature tensor. H. ISHII
and P. E. SOUGANIDIS
- No. 41 1994 On the unified Kummer-Artin-Schreier-Witt theory T. SEKIGUCHI and N. SUWA
- No. 42 1995 Uniqueness results for a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with
singular coefficients. Hitoshi ISHII
and Mythily RAMASWARY
- No. 43 1995 A generalization of Bence, Merriman and Osher algorithm for motion
by mean curvature.
- No. 44 1995 Degenerate parabolic PDEs with discontinuities and generalized Todor GRAMCHEV
and Masafumi YOSHINO
- No. 45 1995 Normal forms of pseudodifferential operators on tori and diophantine
phenomena. Todor GRAMCHEV
and Masafumi YOSHINO
- No. 46 1996 On the distributions of likelihood ratio criterion for equality
of characteristic vectors in two populations. Shin-ichi TSUKADA
and Takakazu SUGIYAMA
- No. 47 1996 On a quantization phenomenon for totally real submanifolds of $CP^n(c)$ Yoshio MATSUYAMA
- No. 48 1996 A characterization of real hypersurfaces of complex projective space. Yoshio MATSUYAMA
- No. 49 1999 A Note on Extensions of Algebraic and Formal Groups, IV. T. SEKIGUCHI and N. SUWA
- No. 50 1999 On the extensions of the formal group schemes $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_a$
over a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra Mitsuaki YATO
- No. 51 2003 On the descriptions of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsors
by the Kummer-Artin-Schreier-Witt theory Kazuyoshi TSUCHIYA
- No. 52 2003 ON THE RELATION WITH THE UNIT GROUP SCHEME $U(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)$
AND THE KUMMER-ARTIN-SCHREIER-WITT GROUP SCHEME Noritsugu ENDO
- No. 54 2004 ON NON-COMMUTATIVE EXTENSIONS OF
 $\mathbb{G}_{a,A}$ BY $\mathbb{G}_{m,A}$ OVER AN \mathbb{F}_p -ALGEBRA Yuki HARAGUCHI
- No. 55 2004 ON THE EXTENSIONS OF \widehat{W}_n BY $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu)}$ OVER A $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -ALGEBRA Yasuhiro NIITSUMA
- No. 56 2005 On inverse multichannel scattering V. MARCHENKO
K. MOCHIZUKI
and I. TROOSHIN
- No. 57 2005 On Thurston's inequality for spinnable foliations H. KODAMA, Y. MITSUMATSU
S. MIYOSHI and A. MORI

- No. 58 2006 Tables of Percentage Points for Multiple Comparison Procedures
Y.MAEDA,
T.SUGIYAMA
and Y.FUJIKOSHI
- No. 59 2006 COUNTING POINTS OF THE CURVE $y^4 = x^3 + a$
OVER A FINITE FIELD
Eiji OZAKI
- No. 60 2006 TWISTED KUMMER AND KUMMER-ARTIN-SCHREIER THEORIES
Noriyuki SUWA
- No. 61 2006 Embedding a Gaussian discrete-time ARMA(3,2) process
in a Gaussian continuous-time ARMA(3,2) process
Mituaki HUZII
- No. 62 2006 Statistical test of randomness for cryptographic applications
Mituaki HUZII, Yuichi TAKEDA
Norio WATANABE
Toshinari KAMAKURA
and Takakazu SUGIYAMA
- No. 63 2006 ON NON-COMMUTATIVE EXTENSIONS OF $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ BY $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(M)}$
OVER AN \mathbb{F}_p -algebra
Yuki HARAGUCHI
- No. 64 2006 Asymptotic distribution of the contribution ratio in high dimensional
principal component analysis
Y.FUJIKOSHI
T.SATO and T.SUGIYAMA
- No. 65 2006 Convergence of Contact Structures to Foliations
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 66 2006 多様体上の流体力学への幾何学的アプローチ
三松 佳彦
- No. 67 2006 Linking Pairing, Foliated Cohomology, and Contact Structures
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 68 2006 On scattering for wave equations with time dependent coefficients
Kiyoshi MOCHIZUKI
- No. 69 2006 On decay-nondecay and scattering for *Schrödinger* equations with
time dependent complex potentials
K.MOCHIZUKI and T.MOTAI
- No. 70 2006 Counting Points of the Curve $y^2 = x^{12} + a$ over a Finite Field
Yasuhiro NIITSUMA
- No. 71 2006 Quasi-conformally flat manifolds satisfying certain condition
on the Ricci tensor
U.C.De and Y.MATSUYAMA
- No. 72 2006 Symplectic volumes of certain symplectic quotients
associated with the special unitary group of degree three
T.SUZUKI and T.TAKAKURA
- No. 73 2007 Foliations and compact leaves on 4-manifolds I
Realization and self-intersection of compact leaves
Y.MITSUMATSU and E.VOGT
- No. 74 2007 ON A TYPE OF GENERAL RELATIVISTIC SPACETIME
WITH W_2 -CURVATURE TENSOR
A.A.SHAIKH
and Y.MATSUYAMA
- No. 75 2008 Remark on TVD schemes to nonstationary convection equation
Hirota NISHIYAMA
- No. 76 2008 THE COHOMOLOGY OF THE LIE ALGEBRAS OF FORMAL
POISSON VECTOR FIELDS AND LAPLACE OPERATORS
Masashi TAKAMURA
- No. 77 2008 Reeb components and Thurston's inequality
S.MIYOSHI and A.MORI
- No. 78 2008 Permutation test for equality of individual
eigenvalues from covariance matrix in high-dimension
H.MURAKAMI, E.HINO
and T.SUGIYAMA
- No. 79 2008 Asymptotic Distribution of the Studentized Cumulative
Contribution Ratio in High-Dimensional PrincipalComponent Analysis
M.HYODO, T.YAMADA
and T.SUGIYAMA
- No. 80 2008 Table for exact critical values of multisample Lepage type statistics
when $k = 3$
Hidetoshi MURAKAMI
- No. 81 2008 AROUND KUMMER THEORIES
Noriyuki SUWA
- No. 82 2008 DEFORMATIONS OF THE KUMMER SEQUENCE
Yuji TSUNO
- No. 83 2008 ON BENNEQUIN'S ISOTOPY LEMMA
AND THURSTON'S INEQUALITY
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 84 2009 On solvability of Stokes problems in special Morrey space $L_{3,\text{unif}}$
N. KIKUCHI and G.A. SEREGIN
- No. 85 2009 On the Cartier Duality of Certain Finite Group Schemes of type (p^n, p^n)
N.AKI and M.AMANO

- No. 86 2010 Construction of solutions to the Stokes equations
Norio KIKUCHI
- No. 87 2010 RICCI SOLITONS AND GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS IN A
KENMOTSU MANIFOLD
U.C.De and Y.MATSUYAMA
- No. 88 2010 On the group of extensions $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}, \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)})$
over a discrete valuation ring
Takashi KONDO
- No. 89 2010 Normal basis problem for torsors under a finite flat group scheme
Yuji TSUNO
- No. 90 2010 On the homomorphism of certain type of models of algebraic tori
Nobuhiro AKI
- No. 91 2011 Leafwise Symplectic Structures on Lawson's Foliation
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 92 2011 Symplectic volumes of double weight varieties associated with $SU(3)/T$
Taro SUZUKI
- No. 93 2011 On vector partition functions with negative weights
Tatsuru TAKAKURA
- No. 94 2011 Spectral representations and scattering for
Schrodinger operators on star graphs
K.MOCHIZUKI
and I.TOROOSHIN
- No. 95 2011 Normally contracting Lie group actions
T.INABA, S.MATSUMOTO
and Y.MITSUMATSU
- No. 96 2012 Homotopy invariance of higher K -theory for abelian categories
S.MOCHIZUKI and A.SANNAI
- No. 97 2012 CYCLE CLASSES FOR p -ADIC ÉTALE TATE TWISTS
AND THE IMAGE OF p -ADIC REGULATORS
Kanetomo SATO
- No. 98 2012 STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR GENERALIZED
EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS AND RELATIVELY NONEXPANSIVE
MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES
YUKINO TOMIZAWA
- No. 99 2013 Global solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations
in the rotational framework
Tsukasa Iwabuchi
and Ryo Takada
- No.100 2013 On the cyclotomic twisted torus and some torsors
Tsutomu Sekiguchi
and Yohei Toda
- No.101 2013 Helicity in differential topology and incompressible fluids
on foliated 3-manifolds
Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu
- No.102 2013 LINKS AND SUBMERSIONS TO THE PLANE
ON AN OPEN 3-MANIFOLD
SHIGEAKI MIYOSHI
この論文には改訂版 (No.108) があります。そちらを参照してください。
- No.103 2013 GROUP ALGEBRAS AND NORMAL BASIS PROBLEM
NORIYUKI SUWA
- No.104 2013 Symplectic volumes of double weight varieties associated with $SU(3)$, II
Taro Suzuki
- No.105 2013 REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A PSEUDO RICCI SYMMETRIC
COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE
SHYAMAL KUMAR HUI
AND YOSHIO MATSUYAMA
- No.106 2014 CONTINUOUS INFINITESIMAL GENERATORS OF A CLASS OF
NONLINEAR EVOLUTION OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES
YUKINO TOMIZAWA
- No.107 2014 Thurston's h-principle for 2-dimensional Foliations
of Codimension Greater than One
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
and Elmar VOGT
- No.108 2015 LINKS AND SUBMERSIONS TO THE PLANE
ON AN OPEN 3-MANIFOLD
SHIGEAKI MIYOSHI
- No.109 2015 KUMMER THEORIES FOR ALGEBRAIC TORI
AND NORMAL BASIS PROBLEM
NORIYUKI SUWA
- No.110 2015 L^p -MAPPING PROPERTIES FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS
IN OPEN SETS OF \mathbb{R}^d
TSUKASA IWABUCHI,
TOKIO MATSUYAMA
AND KOICHI TANIGUCHI
- No.111 2015 Nonautonomous differential equations and
Lipschitz evolution operators in Banach spaces
Yoshikazu Kobayashi, Naoki Tanaka
and Yukino Tomizawa
- No.112 2015 Global solvability of the Kirchhoff equation with Gevrey data
Tokio Matsuyama
and Michael Ruzhansky

- No.113 2015 A small remark on flat functions Kazuo MASUDA
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.114 2015 Reeb components of leafwise complex foliations and their symmetries I Tomohiro HORIUCHI
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.115 2015 Reeb components of leafwise complex foliations and their symmetries II Tomohiro HORIUCHI
- No.116 2015 Reeb components of leafwise complex foliations and their symmetries III Tomohiro HORIUCHI
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.117 2016 Besov spaces on open sets Tsukasa Iwabuchi, Tokio Matsuyama
and Koichi Taniguchi
- No.118 2016 Decay estimates for wave equation with a potential on exterior domains Vladimir Georgiev
and Tokio Matsuyama
- No.119 2016 WELL-POSEDNESS FOR MUTATIONAL EQUATIONS UNDER A
GENERAL TYPE OF DISSIPATIVITY CONDITIONS YOSHIKAZU KOBAYASHI
AND NAOKI TANAKA
- No.120 2017 COMPLETE TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF A COMPLEX
PROJECTIVE SPACE YOSHIO MATSUYAMA
- No.121 2017 Bilinear estimates in Besov spaces generated by the Dirichlet Laplacian Tsukasa Iwabuchi, Tokio Matsuyama
and Koichi Taniguchi
- No.122 2018 Geometric aspects of Lucas sequences, I Noriyuki Suwa
- No.123 2018 Derivatives of flat functions Hiroki KODAMA, Kazuo MASUDA,
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.124 2018 Geometry and dynamics of Engel structures Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.125 2018 Geometric aspects of Lucas sequences, II Noriyuki Suwa
- No.126 2018 On volume functions of special flow polytopes Takayuki NEGISHI, Yuki SUGIYAMA,
and Tatsuru TAKAKURA
- No.127 2019 GEOMETRIC ASPECTS OF LUCAS SEQUENCES, A SURVEY Noriyuki Suwa
- No.128 2019 On syntomic complex with modulus for semi-stable reduction case Kento YAMAMOTO
- No.129 2019 GEOMETRIC ASPECTS OF CULLEN-BALLOT SEQUENCES Noriyuki Suwa
- No.130 2020 Étale cohomology of arithmetic schemes and zeta values
of arithmetic surfaces Kanetomo Sato
- No.131 2020 Global well-posedness of the Kirchhoff equation Tokio Matsuyama
- No.132 2021 Sparse non-smooth atomic decomposition of quasi-Banach lattices Naoya Hatano, Ryota Kawasumi,
and Yoshihiro Sawano
- No.133 2021 Integer values of generating functions for Lucas sequences Noriyuki Suwa
- No.134 2022 Littlewood–Paley characterization of discrete Morrey spaces and its
application to the discrete martingale transform Yuto Abe, Yoshihiro Sawano

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUO UNIVERSITY BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN