

CHUO MATH NO.135(2023)

**A remark on the atomic decomposition in
Hardy spaces based on the convexification
of ball Banach spaces**

by

Yoshihiro Sawano and Kazuki Kobayashi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS



CHUO UNIVERSITY

BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN

JAN. 25 , 2023

A remark on the atomic decomposition in Hardy spaces based on the convexification of ball Banach spaces

Yoshihiro Sawano and Kazuki Kobayashi

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the present note is to slightly shorten the proof of the atomic decomposition based on the paper by Dekel et. al. The atomic decomposition in the present paper is applicable to Hardy spaces based on the convexification of ball Banach spaces. The decomposition is rather canonical although it does not depend linearly on functions. Also, this decomposition is applicable under a rather weak condition as we will see.

1. Introduction

The goal of the present paper is to consider the atomic decomposition of the Hardy space $HP(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in (0, \infty)$. Recall that the *Hardy space* $HP(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < p < \infty$, collects all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\left\| \sup_{t>0} |e^{t\Delta} f| \right\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

where $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t>0}$ stands for the heat semigroup.

We use the following notation in the present paper: Let $\mathbb{N}_0 \equiv \{0, 1, \dots\}$. A function $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support is said to have moment of order L if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha f(x) dx = 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq L$. Let $A, B \geq 0$. Then $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$, where C depends only on the parameters of importance. The symbol $A \sim B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ happen simultaneously. The index σ_p is given by $\sigma_p \equiv \frac{n}{\min(1,p)} - n$ for $0 < p < \infty$.

The goal of the present note is to provide a short proof of a well-known theorem based on the paper [?]. To this end, we set up some notation. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. We denote by $B(x, r)$ the *ball centered at x of radius r* . Namely, we write

$$B(x, r) \equiv \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| < r\}.$$

If $x = 0$, then omit it to write $B(r)$ instead of $B(x, r)$. The set of all balls is denoted by \mathcal{B} .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A17, 42B35; Secondary 26A33.
Key words and phrases. Hardy spaces, variable exponents, atomic decomposition.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Let $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [[\sigma_p], \infty)$. Then there exist a countable collection $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of L_c^∞ -functions having moment of order L and a countable collection $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that*

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j \quad (1.1)$$

in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that

$$\text{supp}(f_j) \subset 8B_j \quad (1.2)$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{L^\infty} |B_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^p}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here aB_j stands for the a -times expansion of B_j for $a > 0$. As in [?], the proof of Theorem ?? uses some Hilbert spaces and estimates as in Lemma ?? to control the grand maximal function. Recently Dekel, Kerkyacharian, Kyriazis and Petrushev significantly reduced this argument [?]. The goal of the present paper is to reexamine their proof and expand it to other Hardy spaces based on ball Banach function spaces.

In order to extend Theorem ?? to other Hardy spaces such as the one based on variable Lebesgue spaces, we slightly generalize Theorem ?. To this end, we recall an equivalent definition of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will use the notation $\langle x \rangle \equiv \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. To simplify the notation, for $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define

$$p_N(\phi) \equiv \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle x \rangle^N |\partial^\alpha \phi(x)| \right), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.4)$$

We define the unit ball \mathcal{F}_N with respect to p_N by

$$\mathcal{F}_N \equiv \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : p_N(\phi) \leq 1\}. \quad (1.5)$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we write

$$\phi^j \equiv 2^{jn} \phi(2^j \cdot). \quad (1.6)$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define the grand maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_N f$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_N f(x) \equiv \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \phi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |\phi^k * f(x)| \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $0 < p \leq 1$. We can say that the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which the quantity $\|f\|_{H^p} \equiv \|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_{L^p}$ is finite; this definition coincides with the one above as long as $N \gg 1$ [?, p. 91].

Denote by χ_E the indicator function of a set E . We refine Theorem ?? based on the spirit of Miyachi [?].

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Let $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [[\sigma_p], \infty)$. Then there exist a countable collection $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of L_c^∞ -functions having moment of order L and a countable collection $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (??), (??) and*

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|f_j\|_{L^\infty} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}B_j})^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_N f \quad (1.7)$$

for all $0 < u < \infty$ with the implicit constant depends only on n, N and u .

Once Theorem ?? is proved, we can prove Theorem ?? with ease. In fact, letting $r = p \in (0, 1]$, we integrate (??) to have (??). So, we concentrate on (re)proving Theorem ?? in the present note after stating some preliminary facts in Section ?. The proof of Theorem ?? is quite akin to the one in [?]. Since the conclusion gets tighter as L is larger, we may assume that $L \gg 1$. However, we start the proof from scratch to clarify what is actually needed for the decomposition. We prove Theorem ?? with the spirit of [?]. We actually prove Theorem ?? in Section ?. Section ? expands what we proved in Section ?. As the starting point, we consider weighted Hardy spaces with weights in A_1 . After that, we investigate other function spaces based on weighted Hardy spaces with weights in A_1 .

2. Preliminaries

A distribution $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to vanish weakly at infinity if $\psi^j * f \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $j \rightarrow -\infty$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since

$$\|\psi^j * f\|_{L^\infty} = O(2^{\frac{jn}{p}} \|f\|_{H^p})$$

for all $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as $j \rightarrow -\infty$, any element in $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ vanishes weakly at infinity.

By taking advantage of the class \mathcal{F}_N , we use the following observation:

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists $A > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |\phi^k * f(x)| \leq A \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |\phi^k * f(y)| \quad (2.1)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $|x - y| \leq 2^{2-k}$.

PROOF. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N$. We calculate

$$\phi^k * f(x) = \langle f, \phi^k(x - \cdot) \rangle = \langle f, \phi^k((x - y) + (y - \cdot)) \rangle.$$

Let $A > 1$ be the constant in Lemma ?. Set

$$\phi_{k,x,y}(z) \equiv \phi(2^k(x - y) + z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then we have $p_N(\phi_{k,x,y}) \leq Ap_N(\phi)$ with the constant $A > 1$ depending on N . Thus,

$$\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |\phi^k * f(x)| = A \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |A^{-1}(\phi_{k,x,y})^k * f(y)| \leq A \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N} |\phi^k * f(y)|,$$

proving (??). \square

We also need the well-known Whitney covering lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let Ω be a proper open set in \mathbb{R}^n . Write $\rho(x) \equiv \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We let $\{B(\xi_j, \frac{\rho_j}{5})\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a maximal disjoint family, where $\rho_j \equiv \rho(\xi_j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

$$(1) \quad \Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty B(\xi_j, \frac{\rho_j}{2}).$$

(2) For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{J}_j \equiv \left\{ \nu \in \mathbb{N} \cap (j, \infty) : B\left(\xi_j, \frac{3}{4}\rho_j\right) \cap B\left(\xi_\nu, \frac{3}{4}\rho_\nu\right) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then $\#\mathcal{J}_j \leq 300^n$ and $7^{-1}\rho_\nu \leq \rho_j \leq 7\rho_\nu$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{J}_j$.

PROOF. This is essentially contained in [?]. However, the number 300 did not appear in [?]. For the sake of convenience, we clarify why this number appears. Notice that

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_j} \chi_B(\xi_\nu, \frac{\rho_j}{35}) \leq \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_j} \chi_B(\xi_\nu, \frac{\rho_\nu}{5}) \leq \chi_B(\xi_j, \frac{37}{5} \rho_j),$$

since

$$\frac{3}{4} \rho_j + \frac{3}{4} \rho_\nu + \frac{1}{5} \rho_\nu \leq 6 \rho_j + \frac{7}{5} \rho_j = \frac{37}{5} \rho_j.$$

Thus,

$$\#\mathcal{J}_j \times \frac{1}{35^n} \leq \frac{37^n}{5^n},$$

implying $\#\mathcal{J}_j \leq 259^n \leq 300^n$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem ??

We transform Theorem ?? to the following equivalent form:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $0 < p \leq 1$. Let $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [[\sigma_p], \infty)$. Then there exists a countable collection $\{F_{j,r}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of L_c^∞ -functions having moment of order L with the following properties:*

(1) *In $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$f = \sum_{(j,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}} F_{j,r}. \quad (3.1)$$

(2) *For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist $\xi_{j,r} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho_{j,r} > 0$ such that*

$$\text{supp}(F_{j,r}) \subset B(\xi_{j,r}, 5\rho_{j,r}). \quad (3.2)$$

(3) *For all $0 < u < \infty$,*

$$\left(\sum_{(j,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}} (\|F_{j,r}\|_{L^\infty} \chi_{B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r})})^u \right)^{\frac{1}{u}} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_N f, \quad (3.3)$$

where the implicit constant depends on u , N and n .

Section ?? is devoted to the proof of Proposition ?? assuming that $f \neq 0$.

For each $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\Omega_r \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_N f(x) > 2^r\}$$

and

$$V_{k,r} \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : B(x, 2^{-k+1}) \subset \Omega_r\}.$$

Notice that each Ω_r is an open set and hence

$$\Omega_r = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_{k,r}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\bigcup_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega_r = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here is a geometric observation we need.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $l_0, l_1, k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in (V_{l_0+1,r} \setminus V_{l_0,r}) \cap (V_{l_1+1,r+1} \setminus V_{l_1,r+1})$.*

(1) $l_0 \leq l_1$.

- (2) If $B(x, 2^{-k}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \neq \emptyset$, then $l_0 \leq k \leq l_1 + 1$.
 (3) If $l_0 + 2 \leq k \leq l_1 - 1$, then $B(x, 2^{-k}) \subset V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}$.

PROOF. We remark that $x \in (V_{l_0+1,r} \setminus V_{l_0,r}) \cap (V_{l_1+1,r+1} \setminus V_{l_1,r+1})$ if and only if $2^{-l_0} \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_r) < 2^{-l_0+1}$ and $2^{-l_1} \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_{r+1}) < 2^{-l_1+1}$.

- (1) Since $\Omega_r \supset \Omega_{r+1}$, $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_{r+1}) \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_r)$. Thus, in view of the above observation, the result follows immediately.

- (2) Let $y \in B(x, 2^{-k}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1})$. Since $y \in V_{k,r}$,

$$2^{-l_0+1} > \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_r) \geq \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega_r) - |x - y| \geq 2^{1-k} - 2^{-k} = 2^{-k},$$

implying $k \geq l_0$. Likewise, since $y \notin V_{k,r+1}$,

$$2^{-l_1} \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_{r+1}) \leq \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega_{r+1}) + |x - y| \leq 2^{1-k} + 2^{-k} < 2^{2-k}.$$

implying $k \leq l_1 + 1$.

- (3) Let $z \in B(x, 2^{-k})$. Then since $x \in V_{l_0+1,r}$ and $k \geq l_0 + 2$,

$$\text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega_r) \geq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_r) - |x - z| \geq 2^{-l_0} - 2^{-k} \geq 2^{1-k}.$$

Hence $B(x, 2^{-k}) \subset V_{k,r}$. Likewise, since $x \notin V_{l_1,r+1}$,

$$\text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega_{r+1}) \leq \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_{r+1}) + |x - z| < 2^{1-l_1} + 2^{-k} \leq 2^{1-k}.$$

Hence $B(x, 2^{-k}) \cap V_{k,r+1} = \emptyset$. □

Fix an integer $L > \frac{n}{2p}$ here and below. Let $\Phi, \Psi, \Theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be even functions supported in the unit ball and satisfy

$$\Psi = \Phi^1 - \Phi = \Delta^L \Theta, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) dx = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

The pair (Φ, Ψ, Θ) is known to exist [?]. Write $\tilde{\Psi} \equiv \Phi^1 + \Phi$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ be a distribution vanishing weakly at infinity. Also let $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We set

$$f_{k,r} \equiv \Psi^k * (\chi_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f).$$

A geometric observation shows that $f_{k,r}$ is supported on Ω_r . We also need the L^∞ -bound for the function of this type.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\text{supp}(\Gamma), \text{supp}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \subset B(1)$. Also let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set. Then*

$$|\Gamma^k * (\chi_{(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap E} \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}^k * f)(x)| \lesssim 2^r$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Gamma^k * (\chi_{(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap E} \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}^k * f)(x)| \\ & \leq \int_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} |\Gamma^k(x - y) \tilde{\Gamma}^k * f(y)| dy \\ & \leq A \int_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} |\Gamma^k(x - y)| \left(\inf_{z \in B(y, 2^{2-k})} |\tilde{\Gamma}^k * f(z)| \right) dy \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma ??, we have

$$|\Gamma^k * (\chi_{(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap E} \cdot \tilde{\Gamma}^k * f)(x)| \lesssim 2^r \int_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} |\Gamma^k(x-y)| dy \lesssim 2^r$$

by the definition of $\mathcal{M}_N f$, $V_{k,r+1}$ and Ω_r . \square

We decompose

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^k * \tilde{\Psi}^k * f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k,r} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

We need to pay attention to the order of the summation in (??). However, if f is good enough, then we can interchange the order of the summation.

LEMMA 3.4. *Assume that $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 < p \leq 1$ and that the integer L in (??) satisfies $L \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \left(\frac{n}{2p}, \infty\right)$. Then*

$$f = \sum_{k,r \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{k,r}$$

in the sense of absolute convergence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Namely,

$$\sum_{k,r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f_{k,r}, \varphi \rangle| < \infty$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. Fix $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that Ψ is an even function. We calculate

$$\langle f_{k,r}, \varphi \rangle = \int_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} \Psi^k * \varphi(y) \tilde{\Psi}^k * f(y) dy.$$

Thanks to (??), by using integration by parts, we have

$$|\Psi^k * \varphi(y)| = |(\Delta^L \Theta)^k * \varphi(y)| \lesssim 2^{-\max(0, 2kL)} \langle y \rangle^{-2n-1} \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Meanwhile, if $y \in V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}$, we have

$$|\tilde{\Psi}^k * f(y)| \leq A p_N(\tilde{\Psi}) \inf_{z \in B(y, 2^{-k})} \mathcal{M}_N f(z) \lesssim 2^{\frac{kn}{p}} \|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_{L^p} = 2^{\frac{kn}{p}} \|f\|_{H^p} \quad (3.6)$$

thanks to Lemma ?? . As a consequence,

$$|\langle f_{k,r}, \varphi \rangle| \lesssim 2^{\frac{kn}{p} - \max(0, 2kL)} \|f\|_{H^p} \int_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} \frac{dy}{\langle y \rangle^{2n+1}}.$$

If we add this inequality over $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f_{k,r}, \varphi \rangle| &\lesssim 2^{\frac{kn}{p} - \max(0, 2kL)} \|f\|_{H^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dy}{\langle y \rangle^{2n+1}} \\ &\sim 2^{\frac{kn}{p} - \max(0, 2kL)} \|f\|_{H^p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

If $L > \frac{n}{2p}$, then this estimate is summable over $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Once we can prove that the series converges absolutely, we see that the series converges back to f thanks to (??). \square

Remark that the power $2n + 1$ in the above proof (see (??) for example) seems superfluous: This number will turn out important in Section ??.

From Lemma ??,

$$f = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k,r} \right) \quad (3.8)$$

in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We analyze the summand with r fixed.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{k,r}(x) \right| \lesssim 2^r$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

PROOF. Since each $f_{k,r}$ is supported on Ω_r , we may assume that $x \in \Omega_r$. We distinguish two cases:

- Let $x \in \Omega_{r+1}$. Choose $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $x \in (V_{l_0+1,r} \setminus V_{l_0,r}) \cap (V_{l_1+1,r+1} \setminus V_{l_1,r+1})$. Thanks to Lemma ??(1), $l_0 \leq l_1$. We further assume that $l_0 + 3 \leq l_1$; otherwise we may simply use Lemma ??

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $f_{k,r}(x) \neq 0$. Then $B(x, 2^{-k}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $l_0 \leq k \leq l_1 + 1$ according to Lemma ??(2).

Due to Lemma ??(3), $f_{k,r}(x) = \Psi^k * \tilde{\Psi}^k * f(x) = \Phi^{k+1} * \Phi^{k+1} * f(x) - \Phi^k * \Phi^k * f(x)$ if $l_0 + 2 \leq k \leq l_1 - 1$. Hence thanks to Lemma ??

$$\sum_{k=l_0+2}^{l_1-1} f_{k,r}(x) = \Phi^{l_1} * \Phi^{l_1} * f(x) - \Phi^{l_0+2} * \Phi^{l_0+2} * f(x) = O(2^r).$$

We do not have to take into account the terms for $k \geq l_1 + 2$ or $k \leq l_0 - 1$ since they vanish according to Lemma ??(2). If we handle the terms for $l_0 \leq k \leq l_0 + 1$ and $l_1 \leq k \leq l_1 + 1$ using Lemma ?? again, then we obtain the desired result.

- Let $x \in \Omega_r \setminus \Omega_{r+1}$. Then let $l_1 = \infty$ and $x \in V_{l_0+1,r} \setminus V_{l_0,r}$ with $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the above and go through the same argument.

□

We can generalize Lemma ??, whose proof we omit.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $l_0, l_1, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $l_0 < l_1$. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{k=l_0}^{l_1} f_{k,r}(x) \right| \lesssim 2^r$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the implicit constant does not depend on l_0 and l_1 .

For an arbitrary set S , define an open set S_k by $S_k \equiv \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(y, S) < 2^{1-k}\}$.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in S_l \setminus S_{l+1}$.*

- (1) *Whenever $k < l$, $B(x, 2^{-k}) \subset S_k$.*
- (2) *Whenever $k \geq l + 2$, $B(x, 2^{-k}) \cap S_k = \emptyset$.*

PROOF. Since $x \in S_l \setminus S_{l+1}$, $2^{-l} \leq \text{dist}(x, S) < 2^{1-l}$. Let $y \in B(x, 2^{-k})$.

(1) Using the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$\text{dist}(y, S) \leq |x - y| + \text{dist}(x, S) \leq 2^{-k} + 2^{1-l} \leq 2^{1-k},$$

implying $y \in S_k$.

(2) Using the triangle inequality again, we obtain

$$\text{dist}(y, S) \geq -|x - y| + \text{dist}(x, S) > -2^{-k} + 2^{1-l} \geq 2^{1-k},$$

implying $y \notin S_k$.

□

Let S be a set. Set

$$F_S(x) \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^k * (\chi_{(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap S_k} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f)(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

If S is bounded, then by the Fubini theorem, we see that F_S satisfies the same moment condition as Ψ^k .

LEMMA 3.8. *For any set S and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\|F_S\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^r$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in S$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $B(x, 2^{-k}) \subset S_k$ and hence

$$(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap S_k \cap B(x, 2^{-k}) = (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap B(x, 2^{-k}).$$

Thus

$$F_S(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^k * (\chi_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f)(x) = O(2^r).$$

Suppose $x \in S_l \setminus S_{l+1}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then thanks to Lemmas ??, ?? and ??,

$$\begin{aligned} F_S(x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{l-1} \Psi^k * (\chi_{V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f)(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=l}^{l+1} \Psi^k * (\chi_{(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap S_k} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f)(x) \\ &= O(2^r). \end{aligned}$$

□

We slightly generalize Lemma ??.

Let S be a set and $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Set

$$F_{S,\kappa}(x) \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{(\kappa, \infty)}(k) \Psi^k * (\chi_{(V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \cap S_k} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f)(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n).$$

LEMMA 3.9. *For any set S , $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\|F_{S,\kappa}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^r$.*

We do not prove Lemma ?? since it is similar to Lemma ??.

Form the Whitney decomposition of $\Omega_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_N f(x) > 2^r\}$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we let $\rho_r(x) \equiv \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega_r)$. We let $\{B(\xi_{j,r}, \frac{\rho_{j,r}}{5})\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a maximal disjoint family, where $\rho_{j,r} \equiv \rho_r(\xi_{j,r})$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have the following properties:

$$(1) \quad \Omega_r = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}).$$

(2) Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$\mathcal{J}_{j,r} \equiv \left\{ \nu \in \mathbb{N} \cap (j, \infty) : B\left(\xi_{j,r}, \frac{3}{4}\rho_{j,r}\right) \cap B\left(\xi_{\nu,r}, \frac{3}{4}\rho_{\nu,r}\right) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Then $\#\mathcal{J}_{j,r} \leq 300^n$ and $7^{-1}\rho_{\nu,r} \leq \rho_{j,r} \leq 7\rho_{\nu,r}$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{J}_{j,r}$.

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define $E_{j,k,r} \equiv B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r} + 2^{1-k}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1})$ if $B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \neq \emptyset$. If $B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) = \emptyset$, then define $E_{j,k,r} \equiv \emptyset$. We have

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j,k,r} = V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1} \quad (k, r \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We set

$$R_{j,k,r} \equiv E_{j,k,r} \setminus \bigcup_{\nu=j+1}^{\infty} E_{\nu,k,r} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}, k, r \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We write

$$F_{j,k,r} \equiv \Psi^k * (\chi_{R_{j,k,r}} \cdot \tilde{\Psi}^k * f)$$

and

$$F_{j,r} \equiv \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{j,l,r}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k, r \in \mathbb{Z}$. As before, we can check that the sum defining $F_{j,r}$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The next lemma shows that the limit belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also observe that

$$f = \sum_{(k,r) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} f_{k,r} = \sum_{(j,k,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}^2} F_{j,k,r} = \sum_{(j,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}} F_{j,r}.$$

LEMMA 3.10. *For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|F_{j,r}| \lesssim 2^r \chi_{B(\xi_{j,r}, 8\rho_{j,r})}$.*

PROOF. The proof consists of two steps.

- Let us verify that $F_{j,r}$ vanishes outside $B(\xi_{j,r}, 5\rho_{j,r})$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $R_{j,k,r} \neq \emptyset$. Then $B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1})$. Then

$$\frac{3}{2}\rho_{j,r} \geq |\xi_{j,r} - z| + \text{dist}(\xi_{j,r}, \partial\Omega_r) \geq \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega_r) \geq 2^{1-k},$$

so that $\rho_{j,r} \geq \frac{4}{3} \cdot 2^{-k}$. Thus, $B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r} + 2^{1-k}) \subset B(\xi_{j,r}, 2\rho_{j,r})$. Since

$$\text{supp}(F_{j,k,r}) \subset B\left(\xi_{j,r}, \frac{7}{2}\rho_{j,r} + 2^{1-k} + 2^{-k}\right) \subset B(\xi_{j,r}, 5\rho_{j,r}),$$

we obtain the desired result.

- Let us obtain the L^∞ -bound of $F_{j,r}$. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $2^{-k} \geq 2\rho_{j,r}$, then from the definition of $\rho_{j,r}$,

$$\sup_{z \in B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r})} \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega_r) = \frac{3}{2}\rho_{j,r} \leq 2^{-k}$$

and hence $B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) = \emptyset$. Namely, if $k \leq -\log_2 \rho_{j,r} - 1$, then $B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{j,r}) \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) = \emptyset$. From the definition of $\mathcal{J}_{j,r}$,

$$B(\xi_{\nu,r}, 2^{-1}\rho_{\nu,r} + 2^{1-k}) \subset B\left(\xi_{\nu,r}, \frac{3}{4}\rho_{\nu,r}\right)$$

for all $k \geq 10 - \log_2 \rho_{j,r}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{J}_{j,r}$. Let

$$S \equiv \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_{j,r}} B(\xi_{\nu,r}, 2^{-1} \rho_{\nu,r}), \quad \tilde{S} \equiv S \cup B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1} \rho_{j,r}).$$

Then we have

$$S_k \equiv \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_{j,r}} B(\xi_{\nu,r}, 2^{-1} \rho_{\nu,r} + 2^{1-k}), \quad (\tilde{S})_k \equiv S_k \cup B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1} \rho_{j,r} + 2^{1-k})$$

and

$$R_{j,k,r} = \left\{ (\tilde{S})_k \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \right\} \setminus \left\{ S_k \cap (V_{k,r} \setminus V_{k,r+1}) \right\}.$$

Thus

$$F_{j,r} = F_{\tilde{S}, 10 - \log_2 \rho_{j,r}} - F_{S, 10 - \log_2 \rho_{j,r}} + \sum_{-\log_2 \rho_{j,r} \leq k \leq -\log_2 \rho_{j,r} + 10} F_{j,k,r}.$$

It remains to use Lemma ??.

□

We conclude the proof of Proposition ?. Equality (??) is a consequence of Lemma ?. Thanks to Lemma ?, $f_{k,r}$ satisfies (??). It remains to prove (??). Using Lemma ? again and the definition of Ω_r , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(j,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}} (\|F_{j,r}\|_{L^\infty} \chi_{B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1} \rho_{j,r})})^u &\lesssim \sum_{(j,r) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}} 2^{ur} \chi_{B(\xi_{j,r}, 2^{-1} \rho_{j,r})} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{ur} \chi_{\Omega_r} \\ &= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{ur} \chi_{(2^r, \infty]}(\mathcal{M}_N f) \\ &\lesssim (\mathcal{M}_N f)^u, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

4. Applications to Hardy spaces based on other ball Banach spaces

Here we modify the proof especially (??) to obtain the decomposition results for distributions in Hardy spaces based on other ball Banach spaces. As we saw in Section ??, it matters that the distribution vanishes weakly at infinity and that the distribution satisfies (??). Section ?? considers the weighted Hardy space $H^p(w)$ with $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_1$. As an application of Section ??, we consider Hardy spaces based on ball Banach function spaces. We can locate Sections ??, ?? and ?? as further examples of Section ?. Hardy spaces with weight in A_∞ , variable Hardy spaces and Hardy–Morrey spaces are considered in Sections ??, ?? and ??, respectively. We will give a precise condition on L in Sections ??, ?? and ?. We need to define the above spaces by way of \mathcal{M}_N . It is known in [?] that the function spaces we are going to handle in this section do not depend on the choice of N as long as $N \gg 1$. This condition L is used to obtain the boundedness of operators. However, as we mentioned, the condition on L can be tightened since we are considering the decompositions of distributions. So, although we present some concrete conditions on L in Sections ??, ?? and ??, we still may assume that L is large enough.

We will make use of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator M . The space $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all complex/ $[0, \infty]$ -valued measurable functions considered modulo the difference on the set of measure zero. For $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define a function Mf by

$$Mf(x) \equiv \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \chi_B(x) m_B(|f|) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.1)$$

Here $m_B(f)$ stands for the average of a locally integrable or non-negative function f over B . The mapping $M : f \mapsto Mf$ is called the *Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator*. We also use the *powered Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator* $M^{(\eta)}$ defined by

$$M^{(\eta)}f(x) \equiv \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} (\chi_B(x) m_B(|f|^\eta))^{\frac{1}{\eta}},$$

where $0 < \eta < \infty$ and $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Together with the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, we need to recall the notion of weights as well as their fundamental properties, which will be done in Sections ?? and ?. See [?] for more details on weights.

We remark that the same idea can be used for Hardy spaces based on other function spaces such as the ones considered in [?, ?, ?, ?, ?].

4.1. Weighted Hardy space $H^p(w)$ with $w \in A_1$. As the starting point, we seek to change $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $L^p(w)$ for some good class of weights. Although we work in a rather special setting, this setting will be a core of our argument. By a weight we mean a function $w \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which satisfies $0 < w(x) < \infty$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We write $w(A) \equiv \int_A w(x) dx$ if A is a measurable set of \mathbb{R}^n . The space

$L^p(w)$ is the set of all $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\|f\|_{L^p(w)} \equiv \|fw^{\frac{1}{p}}\|_{L^p} < \infty$ (cf. [?]).

To proceed further, we compare the weights w and 1. Here we introduce a general definition following the book [?, p. 402]. A weight w_1 is comparable to a weight w_2 if there exist $\alpha, \beta < 1$ such that $w_1(A) \leq \beta w_2(A)$ for any measurable set A and any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $A \subset B$ and $w_2(A) \leq \alpha w_2(B)$. It is important that comparability is symmetric; w_1 is comparable to w_2 if and only if w_2 is comparable to w_1 . In this case there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{w_1(A)}{w_1(B)} \lesssim \left(\frac{w_2(A)}{w_2(B)} \right)^\delta \quad (4.2)$$

and that

$$\frac{w_2(A)}{w_2(B)} \lesssim \left(\frac{w_1(A)}{w_1(B)} \right)^\delta \quad (4.3)$$

for any measurable set A and any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $A \subset B$.

Let $0 < p < \infty$, w be a weight and $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define

$$\|f\|_{H^p(w)} \equiv \|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_{L^p(w)}.$$

The weighted Hardy space $H^p(w)$ is the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which the quantity $\|f\|_{H^p(w)}$ is finite. In the present paper, as long as $N \gg 1$, the definition of $H^p(w)$ does not depend on the choice of N .

As a preliminary and important step, we consider A_1 -weights among other classes of weights. Recall that a locally integrable weight w is said to be an A_1 -weight, if there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$Mw(x) \leq C_0 w(x) \quad (4.4)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The infimum of C_0 satisfying (??) is called the A_1 -norm.

Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We estimate

$$|\Gamma^k * f(x)| \leq A \inf_{y \in B(x, 2^{-k})} |\Gamma^k * f(y)| \leq \frac{Ap_N(\Gamma)}{w(B(x, 2^{-k}))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{H^p(w)}$$

using Lemma ???. It follows from (??) and (??) that

$$\frac{w(B(x, 1))}{w(B(x, 2^{-k}))} \lesssim \left(\frac{|B(x, 1)|}{|B(x, 2^{-k})|} \right)^\delta = 2^{kn\delta}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and that

$$\frac{w(B(x, 2^{-k}))}{w(B(x, 1))} \gtrsim \left(\frac{|B(x, 2^{-k})|}{|B(x, 1)|} \right)^\delta = 2^{-kn\delta}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, it follows from (??) that

$$\langle x \rangle^{-n} w(B(1)) \lesssim w(B(x, 1)) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^n w(B(1)).$$

Therefore,

$$|\Gamma^k * f(x)| \lesssim \frac{2^{\frac{kn\delta}{p}}}{w(B(x, 1))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|f\|_{H^p(w)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{kn\delta}{p}} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{H^p(w)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Recall that $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is arbitrary. By letting $\Gamma = \tilde{\Psi}$, we learn that a counterpart to (??) still holds. Estimate (??) also shows that f vanishes weakly at infinity. As in [?], $A_1 \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \emptyset$. Thus, Ω_r , the level set of $\mathcal{M}_N f$ at 2^r , can not coincide with \mathbb{R}^n , allowing us to use Lemma ???. Therefore, the same conclusion with $L \gg 1$ as Theorem ??? holds.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $f \in H^p(w)$ with $w \in A_1$ and let $L \gg 1$. Then there exist a countable collection $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of L_c^∞ -functions having moment of order L and a countable collection $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (??), (??) and (??).*

4.2. Hardy spaces based on ball Banach function spaces. Based on Section ??, we establish a general theory of the decomposition of distributions in Hardy spaces based on ball Banach function spaces.

DEFINITION 4.2 (Ball Banach function space). A mapping $\|\cdot\|_Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be a *ball Banach function norm* and the couple $(Y(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is said to be a *ball Banach function space* if $(Y(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ satisfies (1)–(7) for all $f, g, f_j \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

- (1) $(Y(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is a Banach space with the following property: $f \in Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $\|f\|_Y < \infty$.
- (2) (Norm property):
 - (A1) (Positivity): $\|f\|_Y \geq 0$.
 - (A2) (Strict positivity) $\|f\|_Y = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$ a.e..
 - (B) (Homogeneity): $\|\lambda f\|_Y = |\lambda| \cdot \|f\|_Y$.
 - (C) (Triangle inequality): $\|f + g\|_Y \leq \|f\|_Y + \|g\|_Y$.
- (3) (Symmetry): $\|f\|_Y = \||f|\|_Y$.
- (4) (Lattice property): If $0 \leq g \leq f$ a.e., then $\|g\|_Y \leq \|f\|_Y$.
- (5) (Fatou property): If $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j = f$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f_j\|_Y = \|f\|_Y$.
- (6) For $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $\chi_B \in Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(7) If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $f \in Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\chi_B f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For a ball Banach function space $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we let

$$Y'(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \left\{ f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{Y'} \equiv \sup_{g \in Y, \|g\|_Y=1} \|f \cdot g\|_{L^1} < \infty \right\}.$$

The space $Y'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called the Köthe dual of $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and it is known that $Y'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball Banach space if $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball Banach space; see [?, Proposition 2.3]. Assume that $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ball Banach function space such that M is bounded on $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Y'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists $\eta > 1$ such that $M^{(\eta)}$ is also bounded on $Y'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ according to [?, Corollary 6.1]. Thus, for all $f \in Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{L^1(M^{(\eta)}\chi_{B(1)})} \leq \|f\|_Y \|M^{(\eta)}\chi_{B(1)}\|_{Y'} \lesssim \|f\|_Y \|\chi_{B(1)}\|_{Y'} \sim \|f\|_Y. \quad (4.6)$$

We can develop the theory of the decomposition of Hardy spaces based on $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$. But we can extend the class of linear spaces to some extent. Consider the power of $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$: For $0 < p < \infty$, we define

$$\|f\|_{Y^{(p)}} \equiv (\| |f|^p \|_Y)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The p -convexification $Y^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\|f\|_{Y^{(p)}} < \infty$. For example, $(L^p)^{(u)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{pu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < u < \infty$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Let $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be as above and let $X(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv Y^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $0 < p < \infty$. The X -based Hardy space $HX(\mathbb{R}^n)$ collects all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\|f\|_{HX} \equiv \|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_X$ is finite. The number N will do as long as $N \gg 1$. As is seen from (??), $HX(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is embedded into $H^p(w)$ for some $w \in A_1$. Therefore, the space $HX(\mathbb{R}^n)$ falls within the scope of Theorem ??.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a ball Banach function space such that M is bounded on $Y(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Y'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and define $X(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv Y^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for any $f \in HX(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L \gg 1$, there exist a countable collection $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of L^∞ -functions having moment of order L and a countable collection $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (??), (??) and (??).*

4.3. A_∞ -Weighted Hardy spaces. We expand Section ?? using Section ??. A locally integrable weight w is said to be an A_∞ -weight, if

$$[w]_{A_\infty} \equiv \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} m_B(w) \exp(-m_B(\log w)) < \infty.$$

The quantity $[w]_{A_\infty}$ is referred to as the A_∞ -constant.

An important property of the class A_∞ is that any weight in A_∞ belongs to A_p for some $1 < p < \infty$. Let $1 < p < \infty$. A locally integrable weight w is an A_p -weight, if

$$[w]_{A_p} \equiv \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} m_B(w) (m_B(w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}))^{p-1} < \infty.$$

It is remarkable that $w \in A_p$ if and only if M is bounded on $L^p(w)$. A direct consequence of the definition is that $w \in A_p$ if and only if $\sigma \in A_{p'}$, where $\sigma \equiv w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Remark also that $\{A_p\}_{p \in [1, \infty]}$ is nested: $A_1 \subset A_p \subset A_q \subset A_\infty$ if $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

Let $w \in A_\infty$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Based on Section ??, we consider $H^p(w)$. Let $w \in A_\infty$, so that $w \in A_u$ for some $1 < u < \infty$. Then as we saw, M is

bounded on $Y(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv L^u(w)$ and on $Y'(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{u'}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma \equiv w^{-\frac{1}{u-1}}$. Since $Y^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{pu}(w)$ for all $0 < p < \infty$, the space $L^p(w)$ with $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$ falls within the scope of Theorem ???. In particular, Theorem ??? below can be used for another proof of the decomposition result in [?].

THEOREM 4.4. *The same conclusion as Theorem ??? holds if we assume merely $w \in A_\infty$ in Theorem ???.*

4.4. Variable Hardy spaces. For a measurable function $p(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, the variable Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \{f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \rho_p(\lambda^{-1}f) < \infty\},$$

where

$$\rho_p(f) \equiv \| |f|^{p(\cdot)} \|_{L^1}$$

Moreover, for $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the *variable Lebesgue norm* $\| \cdot \|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}$ by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}} \equiv \inf \left(\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_p(\lambda^{-1}f) \leq 1 \} \cup \{ \infty \} \right).$$

Here we postulate the following conditions with some positive constants c_* , c^* and p_∞ independent of x and y :

- Local log-Hölder continuity condition:

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{c_*}{\log(|x - y|^{-1})} \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ satisfying } |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (4.7)$$

- log-Hölder-type decay condition at infinity:

$$|p(x) - p_\infty| \leq \frac{c^*}{\log(e + |x|)} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.8)$$

Assuming (??) and (??) as well as $0 < p_- \equiv \inf p(\cdot) \leq p_+ \equiv \sup p(\cdot) < \infty$, we can define variable Hardy space $H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\mathcal{M}_N f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The number N will do as long as $N \gg 1$. Theorem ??? did not use the structure of the underlying space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ heavily except in (??) and in the proof of the fact that the distribution vanishes weakly at infinity. Modify slightly the proof of Theorem ???, in particular (??), to have the following short proof of the key estimates of the decomposition theorems in [?, ?].

THEOREM 4.5. *Assume that the exponent $p(\cdot)$ satisfies the above conditions. Let $f \in H^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [[\sigma_{p_-}], \infty)$. Then there exist a countable collection $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of L_c^∞ -functions having moment of order L and a countable collection $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (??), (??) and (??).*

We may use Theorem ??? for another proof of Theorem ???, since M is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and on $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as long as $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (??) and (??) as well as $1 < p_- \leq p_+ < \infty$. Here $p'(\cdot) = \frac{p(\cdot)}{p(\cdot)-1}$ stands for the dual exponent.

4.5. Hardy–Morrey spaces. First of all, let us recall the Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 < q \leq p < \infty$. Define the Morrey norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$ by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p} \equiv \sup \left\{ |B|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{L^q(B)} : B \in \mathcal{B} \right\}$$

for $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. See [?] for example. The *Morrey space* $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$ is finite. The *Hardy–Morrey space* $HM_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the

set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which $\|f\|_{HM_q^p} \equiv \|\mathcal{M}_N f\|_{\mathcal{M}_q^p}$ is finite. The number N will do as long as $N \gg 1$.

We recall the following facts:

- (1) Thanks to [?], M is bounded on $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1 < q \leq p < \infty$.
- (2) In [?], the Köthe dual of $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is specified if $1 < q \leq p < \infty$.
- (3) Thanks to [?], M is bounded on the Köthe dual of $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1 < q \leq p < \infty$.

Let $0 < q \leq p < \infty$ again. Then from the above observation the space $\mathcal{M}_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ falls under the scope of Theorem ??.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $0 < q \leq p < \infty$. Let $f \in HM_q^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [[\sigma_q], \infty)$. Then there exist a countable collection $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of L_c^∞ -functions having moment of order L and a countable collection $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (??), (??) and (??).*

Theorem ?? recovers the results in [?, ?, ?]. It is noteworthy that in the present paper we did not depend on the diagonal argument in [?, ?]. As we did for variable Hardy spaces, we may also reexamine the proof of Theorem ?? to prove Theorem ??.

References

- [1] D.R. Adams, Weighted nonlinear potential theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **279** (1986), no. 1, 73–94.
- [2] A. Akbulut, V.S. Guliyev, T. Noi and Y. Sawano, Generalized Hardy–Morrey spaces, Z. Anal. Anwend., **36** (2017), no. 2, 129–149.
- [3] D. Cruz-Uribe and D.L. Wang, Variable Hardy spaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** (2014), no. 2, 447–493.
- [4] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, Rend. Mat., **7** (1987), 273–279.
- [5] S. Dekel, G. Kerkycharian, G. Kyriazis and P. Petrushev, A New Proof of the Atomic Decomposition of Hardy Spaces, CONSTRUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, Sozopol 2016 (K. Ivanov, G. Nikolov and R. Uluchev, Eds.), pp. 59–73 Prof. Marin Drinov Academic Publishing House, Sofia, 2018.
- [6] J. García-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics. North-Holland Math. Stud., **116** 1985.
- [7] K.P. Ho, Atomic decompositions of weighted Hardy spaces with variable exponents, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **69** (2017), no. 3, 383–413.
- [8] K.P. Ho, Atomic decompositions and Hardy’s inequality on weak Hardy–Morrey spaces, Sci. China Math. **60** (2017), no. 3, 449–468.
- [9] K.P. Ho, Y. Sawano, D. Yang, and S. Yang, Hardy spaces for ball quasi-Banach function spaces, Dissertationes Math. **525** (2017), 1–102.
- [10] G. Di Fazio, D.I. Hakim and Y. Sawano, Morrey Spaces. Vol. I. Introduction and applications to integral operators and PDE’s. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2020. 479 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4987-6551-0; 978-0-429-08592-5 46-02 (2020)
- [11] T. Iida, Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, Atomic decomposition for Morrey spaces, Z. Anal. Anwend., **33** (2014), no. 2, 149–170.
- [12] H. Jia and H. Wang, Decomposition of Hardy–Morrey spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **354** (2009), 99–110.
- [13] A. Miyachi, Change of variables for weighted Hardy spaces on a domain, Hokkaido Math. J. **38**(3): 519–555. DOI: 10.14492/hokmj/1258553975
- [14] E. Nakai and Y. Sawano, Hardy spaces with variable exponents and generalized Campanato spaces, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 3665–3748.
- [15] Y. Sawano, Theory of Besov spaces, Developments in Mathematics, 56. Springer, Singapore, 2018. xxiii+945 pp.

- [16] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, Predual spaces of Morrey spaces with non-doubling measures, *Tokyo J. Math.* **32** (2009), 471–486.
- [17] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka. The Fatou property of block spaces, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo.* **22** (2015), 663–683.
- [18] T. Schott, Function spaces with exponential weights I. *Math. Nachr.* **189** (1998), 221–242.
- [19] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [20] J.O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky, *Weighted Hardy spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1381. Springer, Berlin (1989)
- [21] J.S. Sun, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Weak Hardy spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces on spaces of homogeneous type: decompositions, real interpolation, and Calderón-Zygmund operators, *J. Geom. Anal.* **32** (2022), no. 7, Paper No. 191, 85 pp.
- [22] Y.Y. Zhang, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Real-variable characterizations of local Orlicz-slice Hardy spaces with application to bilinear decompositions, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **24** (2022), no. 6, Paper No. 2150004, 35 pp.
- [23] Y.Y. Zhang, D. Yang, W. Yuan and S.B. Wang, Weak Hardy-type spaces associated with ball quasi-Banach function spaces I: Decompositions with applications to boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators, *Sci. China Math.* **64** (2021), no. 9, 2007–2064.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUO UNIVERSITY, 1-13-27, KASUGA, 112-8551, TOKYO, JAPAN

Email address: yoshihiro-sawano@celery.ocn.ne.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUO UNIVERSITY, 1-13-27, KASUGA, 112-8551, TOKYO, JAPAN

Email address: a19.dad7@g.chuo-u.ac.jp

PREPRINT SERIES

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUO UNIVERSITY BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN

番号刊行年月	論文名	著者
No. 1 1988	ON THE DEFORMATIONS OF WITT GROUPS TO TORI II	Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI
No. 2 1988	On minimal Einstein submanifold with codimension two	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 3 1988	Minimal Einstein submanifolds	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 4 1988	Submanifolds with parallel Ricci tensor	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 5 1988	A CASE OF EXTENSIONS OF GROUP SCHEMES OVER A DISCRETE VALUATION RING	Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI and Noriyuki SUWA
No. 6 1989	ON THE PRODUCT OF TRANSVERSE INVARIANT MEASURES	S.HURDER and Y.MITSUMATSU
No. 7 1989	ON OBLIQUE DERIVATIVE PROBLEMS FOR FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND-ORDER ELLIPTIC PDE'S ON NONSMOOTH DOMAINS	Paul DUPUIS and Hitoshi ISHII
No. 8 1989	SOME CASES OF EXTENSIONS OF GREOUP SCHEMES OVER A DI SCRETE VALUATION RING I	Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI and Noriyuki SUWA
No. 9 1989	ON OBLIQUE DERIVATIVE PROBLEMS FOR FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND- ORDER ELLIPTIC PDE'S ON DOMAINS WITH CORNERS	Paul DUPUIS and Hitoshi ISHII
No. 10 1989	MILNOR'S INEQUALITY FOR 2-DIMENSIONAL ASYMPTOTIC CYCLES	Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
No. 11 1989	ON THE SELF-INTERSECTIONS OF FOLIATION CYCLES	Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
No. 12 1989	On curvature pinching of minimal submanifolds	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 13 1990	The Intersection Product of Transverse Invariant Measures	S.HURDER and Y.MITSUMATSU
No. 14 1990	The Transverse Euler Class for Amenable Foliations	S.HURDER and Y.MITSUMATSU
No. 14 1989	The Maximum Principle for Semicontinuous Functions	M.G.Crandall and H.ISHII
No. 15 1989	Fully Nonlinear Oblique Derivative Problems for Nonlinear Second-Order Elliptic PDE's.	Hitoshi ISHII
No. 15 1990	On Bundle Structure Theorem for Topological Semigroups.	Yoichi NADUMO, Masamichi TOKIZAWA and Shun SATO
No. 16 1990	On Linear Orthogonal Semigroup \mathfrak{D}_n - Sphere bundle structure, homotopy type and Lie algebra -	Masamichi TOKIZAWA and Shun SATO
No. 17 1990	On a hypersurface with birecurrent second fundametal tensor.	Yoshio MATSUYAMA
No. 18 1990	User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equationd.	M. G. CRANDALL, H. ISHII and P. L. LIONS
No. 19 1991	Viscosity solutions for a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations in Hilbert spaces.	H. ISHII
No. 20 1991	Perron's methods for monotone systems of second-order elliptic PDEs.	H. ISHII
No. 21 1991	Viscosity solutions for monotone systems of second-order elliptic PDEs.	H. ISHII and S. KOIKE
No. 22 1991	Viscosity solutions of nonlinear second-order partial differential equations in Hilbert spaces.	H. ISHII
No. 23		
No. 24 1992	On some pinching of minimal submanifolds.	Y. MATSUYAMA
No. 25 1992	Transverse Euler Class of Foliations on Almost Compact Foliation Cycles.	S. HURDER and Y. MITSUMATSU
No. 26 1992	Local Homeo- and Diffeomorphisms: Invertibility and Convex Image.	G. ZAMPIERI and G. GORNI

- No. 27 1992 Injectivity onto a Star-shaped Set for Local Homeomorphisms in n-Space. G. ZAMPIERI and G. GORNI
- No. 28 1992 Uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problems for $u_t - \Delta u + r|\nabla u|^2 = 0$. I. FUKUDA, H. ISHII
and M. TSUTSUMI
- No. 29 1992 Viscosity solutions of functional differential equations. H. ISHII and S. KOIKE
- No. 30 1993 On submanifolds of sphere with bounded second fundamental form Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 31 1993 On the equivalence of two notions of weak solutions, viscosity solutions
and distributional solutions. H. ISHII
- No. 32 1993 On curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of $CP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 33 1993 On curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of $HP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 34 1993 On curvature pinching for totally complex submanifolds of $HP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 35 1993 A new formulation of state constraints problems for first-order PDEs. H. ISHII and S. KOIKE
- No. 36 1993 On Multipotent Invertible Semigroups. M. TOKIZAWA
- No. 37 1993 On the uniqueness and existence of solutions of fully nonlinear parabolic
PDEs under the Osgood type condition H. ISHII and K. KOBAYASHI
- No. 38 1993 Curvature pinching for totally real submanifolds of $CP^n(c)$ Y. MATSUYAMA
- No. 39 1993 Critical Gevrey index for hypoellipticity of parabolic operators and
Newton polygons T. GRAMCHEV
P. POPIVANOV
and M. YOSHINO
- No. 40 1993 Generalized motion of noncompact hypersurfaces with velocity having
arbitrary growth on the curvature tensor. H. ISHII
and P. E. SOUGANIDIS
- No. 41 1994 On the unified Kummer-Artin-Schreier-Witt theory T. SEKIGUCHI and N. SUWA
- No. 42 1995 Uniqueness results for a class of Hamilton-Jacobi equations with
singular coefficients. Hitoshi ISHII
and Mythily RAMASWARY
- No. 43 1995 A generalization of Bence, Merriman and Osher algorithm for motion
by mean curvature.
- No. 44 1995 Degenerate parabolic PDEs with discontinuities and generalized Todor GRAMCHEV
and Masafumi YOSHINO
- No. 45 1995 Normal forms of pseudodifferential operators on tori and diophantine
phenomena. Todor GRAMCHEV
and Masafumi YOSHINO
- No. 46 1996 On the distributions of likelihood ratio criterion for equality
of characteristic vectors in two populations. Shin-ichi TSUKADA
and Takakazu SUGIYAMA
- No. 47 1996 On a quantization phenomenon for totally real submanifolds of $CP^n(c)$ Yoshio MATSUYAMA
- No. 48 1996 A characterization of real hypersurfaces of complex projective space. Yoshio MATSUYAMA
- No. 49 1999 A Note on Extensions of Algebraic and Formal Groups, IV. T. SEKIGUCHI and N. SUWA
- No. 50 1999 On the extensions of the formal group schemes $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\lambda)}$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_a$
over a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra Mitsuaki YATO
- No. 51 2003 On the descriptions of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsors
by the Kummer-Artin-Schreier-Witt theory Kazuyoshi TSUCHIYA
- No. 52 2003 ON THE RELATION WITH THE UNIT GROUP SCHEME $U(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)$
AND THE KUMMER-ARTIN-SCHREIER-WITT GROUP SCHEME Noritsugu ENDO
- No. 54 2004 ON NON-COMMUTATIVE EXTENSIONS OF
 $\mathbb{G}_{a,A}$ BY $\mathbb{G}_{m,A}$ OVER AN \mathbb{F}_p -ALGEBRA Yuki HARAGUCHI
- No. 55 2004 ON THE EXTENSIONS OF \widehat{W}_n BY $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu)}$ OVER A $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -ALGEBRA Yasuhiro NIITSUMA
- No. 56 2005 On inverse multichannel scattering V. MARCHENKO
K. MOCHIZUKI
and I. TROOSHIN
- No. 57 2005 On Thurston's inequality for spinnable foliations H. KODAMA, Y. MITSUMATSU
S. MIYOSHI and A. MORI

- No. 58 2006 Tables of Percentage Points for Multiple Comparison Procedures
Y.MAEDA,
T.SUGIYAMA
and Y.FUJIKOSHI
- No. 59 2006 COUNTING POINTS OF THE CURVE $y^4 = x^3 + a$
OVER A FINITE FIELD
Eiji OZAKI
- No. 60 2006 TWISTED KUMMER AND KUMMER-ARTIN-SCHREIER THEORIES
Noriyuki SUWA
- No. 61 2006 Embedding a Gaussian discrete-time ARMA(3,2) process
in a Gaussian continuous-time ARMA(3,2) process
Mituaki HUZII
- No. 62 2006 Statistical test of randomness for cryptographic applications
Mituaki HUZII, Yuichi TAKEDA
Norio WATANABE
Toshinari KAMAKURA
and Takakazu SUGIYAMA
- No. 63 2006 ON NON-COMMUTATIVE EXTENSIONS OF $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$ BY $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}^{(M)}$
OVER AN \mathbb{F}_p -algebra
Yuki HARAGUCHI
- No. 64 2006 Asymptotic distribution of the contribution ratio in high dimensional
principal component analysis
Y.FUJIKOSHI
T.SATO and T.SUGIYAMA
- No. 65 2006 Convergence of Contact Structures to Foliations
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 66 2006 多様体上の流体力学への幾何学的アプローチ
三松 佳彦
- No. 67 2006 Linking Pairing, Foliated Cohomology, and Contact Structures
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 68 2006 On scattering for wave equations with time dependent coefficients
Kiyoshi MOCHIZUKI
- No. 69 2006 On decay-nondecay and scattering for *Schrödinger* equations with
time dependent complex potentials
K.MOCHIZUKI and T.MOTAI
- No. 70 2006 Counting Points of the Curve $y^2 = x^{12} + a$ over a Finite Field
Yasuhiro NIITSUMA
- No. 71 2006 Quasi-conformally flat manifolds satisfying certain condition
on the Ricci tensor
U.C.De and Y.MATSUYAMA
- No. 72 2006 Symplectic volumes of certain symplectic quotients
associated with the special unitary group of degree three
T.SUZUKI and T.TAKAKURA
- No. 73 2007 Foliations and compact leaves on 4-manifolds I
Realization and self-intersection of compact leaves
Y.MITSUMATSU and E.VOGT
- No. 74 2007 ON A TYPE OF GENERAL RELATIVISTIC SPACETIME
WITH W_2 -CURVATURE TENSOR
A.A.SHAIKH
and Y.MATSUYAMA
- No. 75 2008 Remark on TVD schemes to nonstationary convection equation
Hirota NISHIYAMA
- No. 76 2008 THE COHOMOLOGY OF THE LIE ALGEBRAS OF FORMAL
POISSON VECTOR FIELDS AND LAPLACE OPERATORS
Masashi TAKAMURA
- No. 77 2008 Reeb components and Thurston's inequality
S.MIYOSHI and A.MORI
- No. 78 2008 Permutation test for equality of individual
eigenvalues from covariance matrix in high-dimension
H.MURAKAMI, E.HINO
and T.SUGIYAMA
- No. 79 2008 Asymptotic Distribution of the Studentized Cumulative
Contribution Ratio in High-Dimensional PrincipalComponent Analysis
M.HYODO, T.YAMADA
and T.SUGIYAMA
- No. 80 2008 Table for exact critical values of multisample Lepage type statistics
when $k = 3$
Hidetoshi MURAKAMI
- No. 81 2008 AROUND KUMMER THEORIES
Noriyuki SUWA
- No. 82 2008 DEFORMATIONS OF THE KUMMER SEQUENCE
Yuji TSUNO
- No. 83 2008 ON BENNEQUIN'S ISOTOPY LEMMA
AND THURSTON'S INEQUALITY
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 84 2009 On solvability of Stokes problems in special Morrey space $L_{3,\text{unif}}$
N. KIKUCHI and G.A. SEREGIN
- No. 85 2009 On the Cartier Duality of Certain Finite Group Schemes of type (p^n, p^n)
N.AKI and M.AMANO

- No. 86 2010 Construction of solutions to the Stokes equations
Norio KIKUCHI
- No. 87 2010 RICCI SOLITONS AND GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS IN A
KENMOTSU MANIFOLD
U.C.De and Y.MATSUYAMA
- No. 88 2010 On the group of extensions $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}^{(\lambda_0)}, \mathcal{E}^{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)})$
over a discrete valuation ring
Takashi KONDO
- No. 89 2010 Normal basis problem for torsors under a finite flat group scheme
Yuji TSUNO
- No. 90 2010 On the homomorphism of certain type of models of algebraic tori
Nobuhiro AKI
- No. 91 2011 Leafwise Symplectic Structures on Lawson's Foliation
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No. 92 2011 Symplectic volumes of double weight varieties associated with $SU(3)/T$
Taro SUZUKI
- No. 93 2011 On vector partition functions with negative weights
Tatsuru TAKAKURA
- No. 94 2011 Spectral representations and scattering for
Schrodinger operators on star graphs
K.MOCHIZUKI
and I.TOROOSHIN
- No. 95 2011 Normally contracting Lie group actions
T.INABA, S.MATSUMOTO
and Y.MITSUMATSU
- No. 96 2012 Homotopy invariance of higher K -theory for abelian categories
S.MOCHIZUKI and A.SANNAI
- No. 97 2012 CYCLE CLASSES FOR p -ADIC ÉTALE TATE TWISTS
AND THE IMAGE OF p -ADIC REGULATORS
Kanetomo SATO
- No. 98 2012 STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR GENERALIZED
EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS AND RELATIVELY NONEXPANSIVE
MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES
YUKINO TOMIZAWA
- No. 99 2013 Global solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations
in the rotational framework
Tsukasa Iwabuchi
and Ryo Takada
- No.100 2013 On the cyclotomic twisted torus and some torsors
Tsutomu Sekiguchi
and Yohei Toda
- No.101 2013 Helicity in differential topology and incompressible fluids
on foliated 3-manifolds
Yoshihiko Mitsumatsu
- No.102 2013 LINKS AND SUBMERSIONS TO THE PLANE
ON AN OPEN 3-MANIFOLD
SHIGEAKI MIYOSHI
この論文には改訂版 (No.108) があります。そちらを参照してください。
- No.103 2013 GROUP ALGEBRAS AND NORMAL BASIS PROBLEM
NORIYUKI SUWA
- No.104 2013 Symplectic volumes of double weight varieties associated with $SU(3)$, II
Taro Suzuki
- No.105 2013 REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A PSEUDO RICCI SYMMETRIC
COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE
SHYAMAL KUMAR HUI
AND YOSHIO MATSUYAMA
- No.106 2014 CONTINUOUS INFINITESIMAL GENERATORS OF A CLASS OF
NONLINEAR EVOLUTION OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES
YUKINO TOMIZAWA
- No.107 2014 Thurston's h-principle for 2-dimensional Foliations
of Codimension Greater than One
Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
and Elmar VOGT
- No.108 2015 LINKS AND SUBMERSIONS TO THE PLANE
ON AN OPEN 3-MANIFOLD
SHIGEAKI MIYOSHI
- No.109 2015 KUMMER THEORIES FOR ALGEBRAIC TORI
AND NORMAL BASIS PROBLEM
NORIYUKI SUWA
- No.110 2015 L^p -MAPPING PROPERTIES FOR SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS
IN OPEN SETS OF \mathbb{R}^d
TSUKASA IWABUCHI,
TOKIO MATSUYAMA
AND KOICHI TANIGUCHI
- No.111 2015 Nonautonomous differential equations and
Lipschitz evolution operators in Banach spaces
Yoshikazu Kobayashi, Naoki Tanaka
and Yukino Tomizawa
- No.112 2015 Global solvability of the Kirchhoff equation with Gevrey data
Tokio Matsuyama
and Michael Ruzhansky

- No.113 2015 A small remark on flat functions Kazuo MASUDA
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.114 2015 Reeb components of leafwise complex foliations and their symmetries I Tomohiro HORIUCHI
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.115 2015 Reeb components of leafwise complex foliations and their symmetries II Tomohiro HORIUCHI
- No.116 2015 Reeb components of leafwise complex foliations and their symmetries III Tomohiro HORIUCHI
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.117 2016 Besov spaces on open sets Tsukasa Iwabuchi, Tokio Matsuyama
and Koichi Taniguchi
- No.118 2016 Decay estimates for wave equation with a potential on exterior domains Vladimir Georgiev
and Tokio Matsuyama
- No.119 2016 WELL-POSEDNESS FOR MUTATIONAL EQUATIONS UNDER A
GENERAL TYPE OF DISSIPATIVITY CONDITIONS YOSHIKAZU KOBAYASHI
AND NAOKI TANAKA
- No.120 2017 COMPLETE TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF A COMPLEX
PROJECTIVE SPACE YOSHIO MATSUYAMA
- No.121 2017 Bilinear estimates in Besov spaces generated by the Dirichlet Laplacian Tsukasa Iwabuchi, Tokio Matsuyama
and Koichi Taniguchi
- No.122 2018 Geometric aspects of Lucas sequences, I Noriyuki Suwa
- No.123 2018 Derivatives of flat functions Hiroki KODAMA, Kazuo MASUDA,
and Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.124 2018 Geometry and dynamics of Engel structures Yoshihiko MITSUMATSU
- No.125 2018 Geometric aspects of Lucas sequences, II Noriyuki Suwa
- No.126 2018 On volume functions of special flow polytopes Takayuki NEGISHI, Yuki SUGIYAMA,
and Tatsuru TAKAKURA
- No.127 2019 GEOMETRIC ASPECTS OF LUCAS SEQUENCES, A SURVEY Noriyuki Suwa
- No.128 2019 On syntomic complex with modulus for semi-stable reduction case Kento YAMAMOTO
- No.129 2019 GEOMETRIC ASPECTS OF CULLEN-BALLOT SEQUENCES Noriyuki Suwa
- No.130 2020 Étale cohomology of arithmetic schemes and zeta values
of arithmetic surfaces Kanetomo Sato
- No.131 2020 Global well-posedness of the Kirchhoff equation Tokio Matsuyama
- No.132 2021 Sparse non-smooth atomic decomposition of quasi-Banach lattices Naoya Hatano, Ryota Kawasumi,
and Yoshihiro Sawano
- No.133 2021 Integer values of generating functions for Lucas sequences Noriyuki Suwa
- No.134 2022 Littlewood–Paley characterization of discrete Morrey spaces and its
application to the discrete martingale transform Yuto Abe, Yoshihiro Sawano
- No.135 2023 A remark on the atomic decomposition in Hardy spaces
based on the convexification of ball Banach spaces Yoshihiro Sawano
and Kazuki Kobayashi

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHUO UNIVERSITY BUNKYOKU TOKYO JAPAN